

The Method of Least Squares

Satya Mandal, KU

March 26, 2007

The General Problem: *Given a set of data points*

$$(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3), \dots, (x_N, y_N),$$

*problem is to determine a line $y = mx + c$ that fits this data best according to some criterion. Objective is to use this best fit line to make projections regarding y as a function of x . For example, x may be the price and y the revenue. Once you have some history, you can use the best fit line to make projections how revenue will change with price changes. **In this section, we determine the best fit line according the Least Square method.** We will do this from the statistical point of view.*

Suppose

$$(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3), \dots, (x_N, y_N)$$

are N data points. We wish to determine the line

$$y = mx + c$$

that fits the data best according to the method of Least Square. We describe the method, as follows:

1. The vertical distance of a data point (x_i, y_i) from the line is

$$D_i = (mx_i + c) - y_i$$

2. The sum of square of these distances:

$$L = L(m, c) = D_1^2 + D_2^2 + \cdots + D_N^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N (mx_i + c - y_i)^2.$$

3. The line for which L is least will be called the **least square line**, also the **regression line**.

4. To determine the least square line, we need to optimize (or minimize) L with respect to m and c . Which will be given by

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial m} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial c} = 0.$$

So, we have

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial m} = \sum 2(mx_i + c - y_i)x_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial c} = \sum 2(mx_i + c - y_i) = 0.$$

Divide both equations by 2 and we get

$$\sum (mx_i + c - y_i)x_i = 0 \quad \text{Eqn - I}$$

and

$$\sum (mx_i + c - y_i) = 0. \quad \text{Eqn - II}$$

5. We borrow some notations and definitions from statistics:

(a) The means are defined as follows:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x_i}{N}; \quad \bar{y} = \frac{\sum y_i}{N}$$

and

(b) The variances σ_x^2, σ_y^2 of x and y values are defined as

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{N}; \quad \sigma_y^2 = \frac{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{N}.$$

Variance is a measure of variability. If all x - values are same then $\sigma_x^2 = 0$.

(c) The covariance of x and y is defined as

$$\text{cov}(x, y) = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{N}.$$

We use these statistical notations and continue with our optimization as follows.

6. Divide Eqn-II by N and we get

$$m\bar{x} + c - \bar{y} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad c = \bar{y} - m\bar{x}.$$

7. The equation (I) is simplified to

$$m \sum x_i^2 + c \sum x_i - \sum x_i y_i = 0 \quad \text{Eqn - III.}$$

8. Before we proceed, we establish following two identities:

(a)

$$\sum x_i^2 = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + N\bar{x}^2$$

Proof. We will use the fact that $\sum x_i = N\bar{x}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum x_i^2 &= \sum [(x_i - \bar{x})^2 + 2x_i\bar{x} - \bar{x}^2] = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + 2\bar{x} \sum x_i - N\bar{x}^2 \\ &= \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + 2\bar{x}(N\bar{x}) - N\bar{x}^2 = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + N\bar{x}^2. \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\sum x_i y_i = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) + N\bar{x}\bar{y}$$

Proof. This proof is similar to the above. Here we will use both $\sum x_i = N\bar{x}$ and $\sum y_i = N\bar{y}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum x_i y_i &= \sum [(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) + \bar{x}y_i + x_i\bar{y} - \bar{x}\bar{y}] \\ &= \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) + \bar{x} \sum y_i + \bar{y} \sum x_i - N\bar{x}\bar{y} \\ &= \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) + \bar{x}(N\bar{y}) + \bar{y}(N\bar{x}) - N\bar{x}\bar{y} = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) + N\bar{x}\bar{y}. \end{aligned}$$

9. Now Equation (III) is rewritten as

$$m \left[\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + N\bar{x}^2 \right] + c \sum x_i - \sum x_i y_i = 0.$$

OR

$$m \left[\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + N\bar{x}^2 \right] + cN\bar{x} - \sum x_i y_i = 0.$$

OR

$$m \left[\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + N\bar{x}^2 \right] + cN\bar{x} - \left[\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) + N\bar{x}\bar{y} \right] = 0.$$

Divide by N , we get

$$m \left[\sigma_x^2 + \bar{x}^2 \right] + c\bar{x} - [\text{cov}(x, y) + \bar{x}\bar{y}] = 0.$$

Substitute $c = \bar{y} - m\bar{x}$, we get

$$m \left[\sigma_x^2 + \bar{x}^2 \right] + (\bar{y} - m\bar{x})\bar{x} - [\text{cov}(x, y) + \bar{x}\bar{y}] = 0.$$

This reduces to

$$m\sigma_x^2 - \text{cov}(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{hence} \quad m = \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\sigma_x^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$c = \bar{y} - m\bar{x} = \bar{y} - \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\sigma_x^2}\bar{x}.$$

Theorem 0.1 *So, the least square line $y = mx + c$ or the regression line is*

$$y = \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\sigma_x^2}x + \left(\bar{y} - \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\sigma_x^2}\bar{x} \right)$$

Question or Problem: In least square method, we used the vertical distance of the line from the data points. It will be interesting to use perpendicular distance p_i of the points from the line. More precisely, suppose

$y = mx + c$ is a line and p_i is the perpendicular distance of the data point (x_i, y_i) from the line. Consider

$$P = \sum p_i^2.$$

Now minimize (optimize) P to determine this line.