

Part VI (§29-33)

Extension Fields

Satya Mandal

University of Kansas, Lawrence KS 66045 USA

January 22

29 Introduction to Extension Fields

Example 29.1. The polynomial $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ does not have a solution in \mathbb{R} , but it has a solution in the bigger field \mathbb{C} .

The author has been working to develop similar theorems for any field F .

Definition 29.2. Let F, E be two fields. If F is a subfield of E , then E is called an **extension field** of F . I write $F \hookrightarrow E$ is an **extension of fields** to mean the same.

Examples:

1. \mathbb{R} is an extension field of \mathbb{Q} .
2. \mathbb{C} is an extension field of \mathbb{Q} .
3. \mathbb{C} is an extension field of \mathbb{R} .
4. Suppose F is any field and $F[x]$ the polynomial ring. Let $F(X)$ be the quotient field of $F[x]$. Then, $F(X)$ is an extension field of F .

The following has been author's **primary goal** for some time.

Theorem 29.3 (29.3 Kronecker's Theorem). *Let F be a field and $f(x)$ be a nonconstant polynomial in $F[x]$. Then there is an extension field E of F so that $f(x)$ has a root in E .*

Proof. By theorem 23.20, $f(x) = p_1(x)p_2(x)\cdots p_r(x)$, where p_i are irreducible polynomials in $F[x]$. Write $E = \frac{F[x]}{\langle p_1(x) \rangle}$. Now, $\langle p_1(x) \rangle$ is a maximal ideal and so E is a field.

1. The map

$$\psi : F \longrightarrow E \quad \text{defined by} \quad \psi(a) = a + \langle p_1(x) \rangle$$

is an injective homomorphism. In fact, ψ is the composition of two homomorphisms, as given by the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & F[x] \\ & \searrow \psi & \downarrow \\ & & E = \frac{F[x]}{\langle p_1(x) \rangle} \end{array}$$

To prove it is injective, we need to show that the kernel is $\{0\}$. So, let $\psi(a) = \langle p_1(x) \rangle$. This means $a + \langle p_1(x) \rangle = \langle p_1(x) \rangle$ or $a \in \langle p_1(x) \rangle$. So, $a = \lambda(x)p_1(x)$ for some $\lambda(x) \in F[x]$. Comparing degrees, we have $\lambda(x) = 0$ and hence $a = 0$. So, ψ is injective.

Identifying, F with $\psi(F) \subseteq E$, we have F is a subfield of E .

2. **Important Notation:** For $g \in F[x]$, we denote, its coset $\bar{g} := g + \langle p_1(x) \rangle \in E$.

Because of the identification of F with $\psi(F)$, notationally, we have $\forall a \in F, a = \bar{a}$.

3. Now, write $p_1(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n$ and $\alpha = \bar{x} = x + \langle p_1(x) \rangle$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(\alpha) &= p_1(\bar{x}) = a_0 + a_1\bar{x} + a_2\bar{x}^2 + \cdots + a_n\bar{x}^n \\ &= \overline{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n} = \overline{p_1(x)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So, α is a zero of $p_1(x)$ in E and hence is a zero of $f(x)$ in E .

The proof is complete. ■

Example 29.4 (29.4). We know $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x]$. According to the above proof, in the field $E = \frac{\mathbb{R}[x]}{\langle x^2+1 \rangle}$ the element $\alpha = x + \langle f(x) \rangle$ is a root of $f(x)$. Also establish an isomorphism

$$E = \frac{\mathbb{R}[x]}{\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle} \approx \mathbb{C}.$$

Example 29.5 (29.5). Let $f(x) = x^4 - 12x^2 + 35 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. We have a factorization

$f(x) = (x^2 - 5)(x^2 - 7)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. However, $f(x)$ does not factor any further in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

1. In $E = \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x]}{\langle x^2-5 \rangle}$, with $\alpha = x + \langle (x^2 - 5) \rangle$, it factors as $f(x) = (x - \alpha)(x + \alpha)(x^2 - 7)$ in $E[x]$.
2. Check, $E \approx \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}] = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\sqrt{5}$.
3. In $E' = \frac{E[x]}{\langle x^2-7 \rangle}$, with $\beta = x + \langle x^2 - 7 \rangle$, it factors as

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha)(x + \alpha)(x - \beta)(x + \beta),$$

in to linear factors, in $E'[x]$.

Devine Goals: In example 29.5, we started with a polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and constructed an field extension E' of \mathbb{Q} so that $f(x)$ factors into linear factors in $E'[x]$. This is same as saying that $f(x)$ has four roots in E' .

1. This is a typical process that we would like to immitate for any field F and any polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$.
2. Better still, given any field F , we would like to construct an extension E' , so that all polynomials in $F[x]$ factors into linear factors in $E'[x]$.
3. Even better is to find an extension E' of F so that all polynomial in $E'[x]$ factors in to linear factors in $E'[x]$. In fact, \mathbb{C} is such an extension of \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Such a field E' will be called **algebraically closed field**.

29.1 Algebraic and Transcendental Elements

Definition 29.6. Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a field extension and $\alpha \in E$.

1. We say that α is **algebraic over** F , if

$$f(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{for some } 0 \neq f(x) \in F[x].$$

2. We say α is **transcendental over** F , if it is not algebraic over F .

Example 29.7. Reading Assignment: §29 all Examples.

1. (29.7) $\sqrt{2}$ is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} .
2. (29.9) π, e are transcendental over \mathbb{Q} .

Definition 29.8. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. We say α is an **algebraic number**, if it is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem 29.9. Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a field extension and $\alpha \in E$. Define the evaluation map

$$\varphi_\alpha : F[x] \longrightarrow E \quad \text{by} \quad \varphi_\alpha(f(x)) = f(\alpha).$$

Then α is transcendental if and only if φ_α is injective.

Proof. Exercise.

The following is "irreducible polynomial" of α .

Theorem 29.10 (Thm29p13). Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a field extension and $\alpha \in E$ be algebraic over F . Then, there is a polynomial $p(x) \in F[x]$, with the following properties:

1. $p(\alpha) = 0$.
2. $p(x)$ is irreducible.

3. For any polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$, $f(\alpha) = 0 \implies p(x) \mid f(x)$ in $F[x]$.
4. This irreducible polynomial p is determined uniquely upto a unit in F .
In fact, any polynomial q of minimal degree, with the property $q(\alpha) = 0$ will satisfy the above properties of p .

Proof. Since α is algebraic over F , there are nonzero polynomials $f(x) \in F[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Let

$$d = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : f(\alpha) = 0, \text{ for some } f \in F[x] \text{ with } \deg(f) = n\}.$$

Let $p(x)$ be one with minimal degree (i.e. $\deg(p) = d$) such the $p(\alpha) = 0$.

1. To see that $p(x)$ is irreducible, use contrapositive argment. Write $p(x) = q(x)g(x)$ where $q, g \in F[x]$ are nonconstant. Then $0 = p(\alpha) = q(\alpha)g(\alpha)$ So, either $q(\alpha) = 0$ or $g(\alpha) = 0$. Since degree of both are less than d , it contradicts the minimality of degree of p . So, (1), (2) are established.
2. Suppose $f(\alpha) = 0$ for some $f(x) \in F[x]$. By division algorithm

$$f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x) \quad \text{for some } q(x), r(x) \in F[x]$$

$$\text{and } r(x) = 0 \text{ or } \deg(r(x)) < d.$$

By substituting:

$$0 = f(\alpha) = q(\alpha)p(\alpha) + r(\alpha) = r(\alpha).$$

By minimality of p , $r(x) = 0$. So $f(x) = q(x)p(x)$. So, (3), is established.

3. Now, if $q(x) \in F[x]$ is another irreducible polynomial, with $q(\alpha) = 0$, then by (3), $q(x) = u(x)p(x)$. Since $q(x)$ is irreducible $u(x) = u \in F$ must be a unit.

The proof is complete. ■

Definition 29.11. Let F be a field.

1. A polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$ with $\text{degree}(f) = n$ is called a **monic polynomial**, if the coefficient of the top-degree term x^n is 1. So a monic polynomial looks like

$$f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0$$

2. Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a extension of fields. and $\alpha \in E$ be algebraic over F . The monic irreducible polynomial $p(x)$ give by theorem 29.10 is called the **irreducible polynomial for α over F** .

It is also called the **minimal monic polynomial of α over F** .

It is denoted by $\text{irr}(\alpha, F)$.

3. The degree of this polynomial is also called the **degree of α over F** and denoted by $\text{deg}(\alpha, F)$.

Example 29.12 (19p14). We have

$$\text{irr}(\sqrt{2}, \mathbb{Q}) = x^2 - 2, \quad \text{irr}(\sqrt{2}, \mathbb{R}) = x - \sqrt{2}.$$

Exercise. Find the irreducible polynomials of $\alpha = \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{3}}$ over \mathbb{Q} .

29.2 Simple Extensions

Definition 29.13. Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a field extension. We say E is a **simple extension** of F , if there is an $\alpha \in E$ such that E is the smallest subfield of E generated by F and α . This means, if K is a subfield of E :

$$F \subseteq K, \alpha \in K \implies K = E.$$

Theorem 29.14 (page 270). Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a field extension and $\alpha \in E$. Define the evaluation map

$$\varphi_\alpha : F[x] \longrightarrow E \quad \text{by} \quad \varphi_\alpha(f(x)) = f(\alpha).$$

Then image of φ_α is given by

$$\varphi_\alpha(F[x]) = \{f(\alpha) : f(x) \in F[x]\} \subseteq E$$

We also denote

$$\begin{aligned} F[\alpha] &:= \varphi_\alpha(F[x]) = \{f(\alpha) : f \in F[x]\} \\ &= \{a_n\alpha^n + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1\alpha + a_0 : a_i \in F\} \end{aligned}$$

Caution: $F[\alpha]$ is not to be confused with a polynomial ring.

1. If α is algebraic over F then $F[\alpha]$ is a field.
2. If α is transcendental, then φ_α is injective. So, $F[x] \xrightarrow{\sim} F[\alpha]$

Proof. Let $I = \ker(\varphi)$. Then, I is an ideal of $F[x]$. By a theorem in §21, $I = F[x]p(x)$. In fact, $p(x) = \text{irr}(\alpha, F)$ (because the generator of the ideal is the polynomial with minimal degree). It follows, from Group Theory, that

$$\frac{F[x]}{F[x]p(x)} \xrightarrow{\sim} F[\alpha]$$

is an isomorphism. Since p is irreducible, $\frac{F[x]}{F[x]p(x)}$ is a field (see §27).

When α is transcendental, by definition the statement is true. The proof is complete. ■

Definition 29.15. Use all the notations as in (29.14). Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be an extension of fields and $\alpha \in E$. Recall that $F(\alpha)$ denotes the smallest subfield of E generated by F and α .

1. If α is algebraic over F , then $F[\alpha]$ is a field. Therefore, $F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$.
2. If α is transcendental over F , then $F[x] \approx F[\alpha]$ is ONLY an integral domain, not a field. In this case, the field of quotients of $F[\alpha]$ is $= F(\alpha)$.

Important Remark: Suppose $F \hookrightarrow E$ is a field extension. Then E is a vector space over F . More generally, for a field F , and a ring R , any ring homomorphism $F \rightarrow R$ provides an F -vector space structure on R . (The author avoided stating this at this stage, because he is introducing Vector Space in next section §30.)

Theorem 29.16 (29.18). Suppose $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a field extension and $\alpha \in E$ be algebraic over F . As said above, then $F(\alpha) = F[\alpha]$. Let

$$\text{degree}(\text{irr}(\alpha, F)) = n.$$

In fact,

$$1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1} \text{ forms a Vector Space basis of } F(\alpha) \text{ over } F.$$

Proof. As before, let $\varphi_\alpha : F[x] \rightarrow E$ be the evaluation map. Then,

$$F(\alpha) = F[\alpha] = \text{image}(\varphi_\alpha).$$

Let

$$\text{irr}(\alpha, F) = p(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0 \quad \text{with } a_i \in F.$$

By definition, $p(\alpha) = 0$. Let $\beta \in F(\alpha) = F[\alpha]$. Then, there is a polynomial $f \in F[x]$ such that $\beta = f(\alpha)$. By division algorithm,

$$f(x) = p(x)q(x) + r(x) \quad \text{with } q, r \in F[x] \text{ and } r = 0 \text{ or } \text{degree}(r) < n.$$

Write

$$r(x) = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} \quad b_i \in F.$$

So,

$$\beta = f(\alpha) = p(\alpha)q(\alpha) + r(\alpha) = b_0 + b_1\alpha + b_2\alpha^2 + \dots + b_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1}.$$

So, β is F -linear combination of $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$ and hence this set spans $F[\alpha]$. To prove, $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$ is linearly independent, let

$$a_0 + a_1\alpha + a_2\alpha^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{with } a_i \in F.$$

Write

$$r(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}. \text{ then } r(\alpha) = 0.$$

By minimality of $p(x)$, we have $r(x) = 0$. That means, $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_{n-1} = 0$. Hence the above set is linearly independent; hence a basis. The proof is complete. ■

Reading Assignment:Read Example 29.19, page 271.

30 Vector Spaces

Refer to Math 790.

31 Algebraic Extension

Abstract

Given a field F and a non-constant $f \in F[x]$, we want to find extension $F \hookrightarrow E$ so that $f(x)$ has a root in E

31.1 Finite Extensions

Definition 31.1. Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be an extension of fields.

1. Recall, an element $\alpha \in E$ is said to be **algebraic over F** , if there is a non-constant $f \in F[x]$ so that $f(\alpha) = 0$.
2. The extension $F \hookrightarrow E$ is said to be an **algebraic extension**, if every $\alpha \in E$ is algebraic over F .
3. Given an extension $F \hookrightarrow E$ of fields, we can consider E as a vector space over F . Define

$[E : F] := \dim_F(E)$ = the vector space dimension of E over F .

This $[E : F]$ can be finite or ∞ .

4. If $[E : F] = n < \infty$, then we say E is a **finite extension of degree n over F** .

Examples. Here are some:

1. Given any field F , F is a finite extension over itself, of degree $[F : F] = 1$.
2. $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a finite extension of degree 2 over \mathbb{R} . (Give a basis.).
3. Let $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is a finite extension of degree 2 over \mathbb{Q} . (Give a basis.).
4. Give a positive integer n let $\zeta_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ and

$$E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) = \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}\right).$$

The $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is a finite extension of degree n over \mathbb{Q} . (Give a basis.).

Theorem 31.2 (31.3). *Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a finite field extension. Then, if E is an algebraic field extension. Let me display*

$$FINITE \implies ALGEBRAIC.$$

Proof. Let $[E : F] = n < \infty$. Let $\alpha \in E$ be any element. Then,

$$1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^n \text{ cannot be linearly independent over } F.$$

So, there are $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$, not all of them zero, such that

$$a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_n\alpha^n = 0. \quad \text{Write } f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n.$$

Then, $f(x) \in F[x]$ is nonzero and $f(\alpha) = 0$. So, α is algebraic over F . The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Theorem 31.3 (31.4). *Let $F \hookrightarrow E$, $E \hookrightarrow K$ be two finite field extensions. Then, $F \hookrightarrow K$ is a finite extension, and*

$$[K : F] = [K : E][E : F].$$

Proof. Let

$$[K : E] = m, \quad [E : F] = n.$$

We will prove $[K : F] = mn$. Let

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in E \text{ be a basis of } E \text{ over } F$$

$$\text{and } \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m \in K \text{ be a basis of } K \text{ over } E.$$

We will prove $\{\alpha_i\beta_j : i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m\}$ forms a basis of K over F . First, I will show they span K over F . Let $\gamma \in K$. Then,

$$\gamma = b_1\beta_1 + b_2\beta_2 + \dots + b_m\beta_m = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j\beta_j \quad \text{for some } b_j \in E.$$

Again, since $b_j \in E$ we have

$$b_j = a_{1j}\alpha_1 + a_{2j}\alpha_2 + \dots + a_{nj}\alpha_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}\alpha_i \quad \text{for some } a_{ij} \in F.$$

So,

$$\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \beta_j = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \alpha_i \right) \beta_j = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} (\alpha_i \beta_j).$$

So, γ is an F -linear combination of $\alpha_i \beta_j$. So,

$$\{\alpha_i \beta_j : i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 1, \dots, m\} \quad \text{spans } K \text{ over } F.$$

Now, I will show $\{\alpha_i \beta_j : i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 1, \dots, m\}$ is linearly independent over F . So, suppose

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} (\alpha_i \beta_j) = 0 \quad \text{for some } a_{ij} \in F.$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} (\alpha_i \beta_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \alpha_i \right) \beta_j = 0.$$

Since, β_1, \dots, β_m are linearly independent over E , for each j we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \alpha_i = 0.$$

Since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over F we have

$$a_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

So, $\{\alpha_i \beta_j : i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 1, \dots, m\}$ is also linearly independent over F . So, $\{\alpha_i \beta_j : i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 1, \dots, m\}$ is a basis of K over F . So,

$$[K : F] = nm = [K : E][E : F].$$

The proof is complete. ■

Corollary 31.4 (31.6). *Suppose*

$$F_1 \hookrightarrow F_2 \hookrightarrow F_3 \cdots F_{r-1} \hookrightarrow F_r \quad \text{be finite field extensions.}$$

Then,

$$[F_r : F_1] = [F_r : F_{r-1}] \cdots [F_3 : F_2][F_2 : F_1]$$

Proof. By induction,

$$[F_r : F_1] = [F_r : F_{r-1}][F_{r-1} : F_1] = [F_r : F_{r-1}](F_{r-1} : f_{r-2}) \cdots [F_3 : F_2][F_2 : F_1].$$

The proof is complete. ■

Corollary 31.5 (31.7). *Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a field extension and $\alpha \in E$ be algebraic over F . Let $\beta \in F(\alpha)$. Then*

$$\deg(\beta, F) \mid \deg(\alpha, F).$$

Proof. Recall, $\deg(\alpha, F)$ is the degree of the irreducible polynomial of α and

$$\deg(\alpha, F) = [F(\alpha) : F].$$

So, we have

$$\deg(\alpha, F) = [F(\alpha) : F] = [F(\alpha) : F(\beta)][F(\beta) : F] = [F(\alpha) : F(\beta)]\deg(\beta, F).$$

The proof is complete. ■

Reading Assignment:Read Example 31.7-31.10.

Theorem 31.6 (31.11). *Let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be an algebraic extension and $E = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ for finitely many elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in E$. Then, $F \hookrightarrow E$ is finite field extension.*

The converse of this theorem is also true (by (31.2)).

Proof. Suppose $E = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is algebraic. Write

$$E_0 = F, E_1 = F(\alpha_1), E_2 = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \dots, E_{n-1} = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}),$$

$$E_n = E = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Note $E_{r-1} \hookrightarrow E_r = E_{r-1}(\alpha_r)$ and α_r is algebraic over E_{r-1} . So,

$$[E_r : E_{r-1}] = \deg(\alpha_r, E_{r-1}) =: m_r.$$

Then, we have a chain

$$F = E_0 \hookrightarrow E_1 \hookrightarrow E_2 \dots E_{n-1} \hookrightarrow E_n = E$$

of algebraic field extensions. So,

$$\begin{aligned} [E : F] &= [E_n : E_0] = [E_n : E_{n-1}][E_{n-1} : E_{n-2}] \cdots [E_2 : E_1][E_1 : E_0] \\ &= m_n m_{n-1} \cdots m_2 m_1 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, first part of the theorem is established.

For the converse, let $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a finite field extension and $[E : F] = n$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a basis of E over F . Then, $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Also, by (31.2), it is an algebraic extension. The proof is complete. ■

Corollary 31.7 (Extra). *Suppose $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is finitely generated field extension of F . Then, $F \hookrightarrow E$ is algebraic field extension if and only if $F \hookrightarrow E$ finite field extension.*

Let me display, for finitely generated extensions

$$FINITE \quad \iff \quad ALGRBRAIC.$$

Proof. It is just reinterpretation of the above. ■

Corollary 31.8 (Extra). *Suppose $E = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is finitely generated field extension of F . Assume $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are algebraic over F . Then E is a finite field extension of F .*

Proof. Exercise ■

31.2 Algebraically Closed Fields and Algebraic Closure

Theorem 31.9 (31.12). *Suppose $F \hookrightarrow E$ be an extension of fields. Write*

$$\overline{F}_E = \{\alpha \in E : \alpha \text{ is algebraic over } F\}.$$

Then, \overline{F}_E is a subfield of E and $F \hookrightarrow \overline{F}_E$. This field \overline{F}_E is called the Algebraic Closure of F in E .

Proof. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{F}_E$. Then, by (31.8), $F \hookrightarrow F(\alpha, \beta)$ is finite field extension. Since $\alpha + \beta, \alpha - \beta \in F(\alpha, \beta)$ and if $\beta \neq 0$ then $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \in F(\alpha, \beta)$, by (31.2), they are all algebraic over F , hence in \overline{F}_E . So, \overline{F}_E is closed under addition, multiplication and each nonzero element in \overline{F}_E has an inverse in it. So, \overline{F}_E is a field. The proof is complete. ■

Corollary 31.10 (31.13). *The set $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of all algebraic numbers forms a subfield of \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Recall, a complex number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, is called an algebraic number if it is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} . So, it is an immediate consequence of the above. ■

Definition 31.11. *A field F is called **algebraically closed**, if every nonconstant polynomial $f \in F(x)$ has a zero in F .*

Prime Example:

Theorem 31.12 (31.17). *The field \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed.*

Proof. (Skip, if you did not have course in complex analysis.) Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is a nonconstant polynomial. Suppose $f(x)$ does not have any zero in \mathbb{C} . Then, $1/f(x)$ is an entire function (that means, holomorphic everywhere). Also, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)| = \infty$. So, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |1/f(x)| = 0$. Thus, $1/f(x)$ is a bounded function, which is entire. By Liouville's theorem, $1/f$ is constant and hence so is f . This is a contradiction. ■

Theorem 31.13 (31.15). *A field is algebraically closed if and only if every (nonconstant) polynomial factors into linear factors.*

Proof. Suppose F is algebraically closed and $f \in F[x]$ is (nonconstant) polynomial. If $\deg(f) = 1$, then there is nothing to prove. Now let $n = \deg(f) > 1$. Since F is algebraically closed, $f(a_1) = 0$ for some $a_1 \in F$. So, $f(x) = (x - a_1)g(x)$ for some $g \in F[x]$. Since, $\deg(g) = n - 1 < \deg(f)$, by induction, g factors as $g(x) = \lambda(x - a_2)(x - a_3) \cdots (x - a_n)$ for some $\lambda, a_i \in F$. So, $f(x) = (x - a_1)g(x) = \lambda(x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3) \cdots (x - a_n)$. So, this implication is established.

Conversely, suppose every (nonconstant) polynomial factors into linear factors. Now, let $f \in F[x]$ be nonconstant. Then $f(x) = \lambda(x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3) \cdots (x - a_n)$ for some $\lambda, a_i \in F$. So, each a_i is a root of f .

The proof is complete. ■

Corollary 31.14 (31.16). *Suppose F is an algebraically closed field and $F \hookrightarrow E$ is an algebraic extension of fields. Then $F = E$.*

Proof. Suppose $a \in E$. Since a is algebraic over F , there is a nonconstant polynomial $f \in F[x]$, such that $f(a) = 0$. So, $f(x) = (x - a)g(x)$ for some $g \in E[x]$. Since F is algebraically closed, by the above theorem, $f(x) = \lambda(x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3) \cdots (x - a_n)$ for some $\lambda, a_i \in F$. So,

$$f(x) = \lambda(x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3) \cdots (x - a_n) = (x - a)g(x)$$

Since, every polynomial in $E[x]$ has unique factorization, $a = a_i \in F$ for some i . The proof is complete. ■

Theorem 31.15 (31.32). *Suppose F is a field. Then there is a field extension $F \hookrightarrow E$ such that (1) E is algebraically closed, (2) $F \hookrightarrow E$ is an algebraic extension. (Such an extension E is called the **algebraic closure** of F and is denoted by \overline{F}).*

Proof. By some set theoretic argument, we assume that there is a set Ω such that if $F \hookrightarrow E$ is an algebraic extension then $E \subset \Omega$. Let

$$\mathcal{E} = \{E : F \hookrightarrow E \text{ is an algebraic extension}\}$$

Then, inclusion $E_1 \subseteq E_2$ gives a structure of a partially ordered set on \mathcal{E} . Suppose

$$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_3 \subseteq E_4 \subseteq \dots$$

is a chain of field extensions in \mathcal{E} . Write

$$E = \bigcup E_i$$

Then, E is a field such that $F \hookrightarrow E$ is an algebraic extension. So, $E \in \mathcal{E}$ and $E_i \subseteq E$ for all i . So, every chain in \mathcal{E} has an upper bound in \mathcal{E} . Therefore, by Zorn's lemma (see §0) \mathcal{E} has a maximal element K . We claim that K is algebraically closed field. So see this, let $f \in K[x]$ be a nonconstant polynomial and $f(x)$ does not have a zero in K . Write the unique factorization $f = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$, where $p_i \in K[x]$ are irreducible in $K[x]$. So, $K \hookrightarrow \frac{K[x]}{(p_1)}$ is an algebraic extension and so $F \hookrightarrow \frac{K[x]}{(p_1)}$ is an algebraic extension. Since, $K \neq \frac{K[x]}{(p_1)}$, it is a contradiction to the maximality of E . So, E is algebraically closed. The proof is complete. ■

List of concepts we defined in this section:

1. Given field extension $F \hookrightarrow E$ and element $a \in E$, we defined when we say a is **algebraic over F** .
2. We defined when a field extension $F \hookrightarrow E$ is called **algebraic extension**.
3. We defined **finite field extensions** $F \hookrightarrow E$.
4. Given field extension $F \hookrightarrow E$ we defined \overline{F}_E , the **algebraic closure of F in E** .
5. Give a field F , we defined its algebraic closure \overline{F} (see 31.15). This is the "Grand" closure.

32 Geometric Constructions

skip

33 Finite Fields

Theorem 33.1. *Let F be a field and $F \hookrightarrow E$ be a finite field extension. If F has q elements and $[E : F] = n$ then E has q^n elements.*

Proof. Exercise. ■

Theorem 33.2. *Suppose E is a finite field of characteristic $p > 0$. Prove E has p^n elements.*

Proof. Follows from the fact $\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow E$ is finite field extension. The proof is complete. ■