

Triangulated Witt Groups

Satya Mandal, U. Kansas
Algebra Seminar, KU

September 19, 2013

Definition

Main references used are given below.

- ▶ Suppose \mathcal{A} is an abelian category.
- ▶ A subcategory \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{A} is called an **exact category**, if for any exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{A},$$

$$P, Q \in \mathcal{E} \implies M \in \mathcal{E}.$$

- ▶ Let A be a commutative ring. The category $\mathcal{P}(A)$ of all finitely generated projective A -module is an exact category.

Duality

Suppose \mathcal{E} is an exact category and $*$: $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a contravariant functor. We denote $*(M) = M^*$. We say $*$ is a **duality** (involution) on \mathcal{E} , if

- ▶ there is a natural equivalence (isomorphism)

$$\pi : Id \xrightarrow{\sim} * \circ * \quad \ni \quad \forall \text{ objects } M \in \mathcal{E} \quad Id_{M^*} = (\pi_M)^* \pi_{M^*}.$$

- ▶ This means, $\forall \text{ objects } M \in \mathcal{E} \exists$, "natural isomorphisms"

$$\pi_M : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{**} \quad \ni \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M^* & \xrightarrow{\pi_{M^*}} & M^{***} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \pi_{M^*} \\ & & M^* \end{array} \quad \text{commute.}$$

Duality

- ▶ We say, $(\mathcal{E}, *, \pi)$ is an **exact category with duality**.
- ▶ Example. For a projective A -module P , let

$$P^* = \text{Hom}(P, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi : P \xrightarrow{\sim} P^{**} \quad \text{be the *evaluation*.$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}(A), *, \pi)$ is an exact category with duality.

Symmetric spaces

Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, *, \pi)$ is an exact category with duality.

- ▶ For an object $P \in \mathcal{E}$, an isomorphism $\varphi : P \xrightarrow{\sim} P^*$ is called an **symmetric isomorphism**, if

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P^* \\
 & \searrow \pi & \downarrow \varphi^* \\
 & & P^{**}
 \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

- ▶ If $\varphi : P \xrightarrow{\sim} P^*$ is a symmetric isomorphism, we say (P, φ) is a **symmetric space** (or **symmetric form** or a **form**).

Orthogonal Sum

Let (P, φ) and (Q, ψ) be two symmetric spaces. The **orthogonal sum** \perp of these two forms is defined to be

$$(P, \varphi) \perp (Q, \psi) := \left(P \oplus Q, \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Isometry

Let (P, φ) and (Q, ψ) be two symmetric spaces.

- ▶ An isomorphism $h : P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$ is said to be **isometry**, if

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P^* \\
 h \downarrow & & \uparrow h^* \\
 Q & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Q^*
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{commutes.}$$

- ▶ Isometry is an **equivalence** relation.

The Witt monoid

Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, *, \pi)$ is an exact category (small) with duality.

- ▶ Let $MW(\mathcal{E})$ be the set of all isometry classes.
- ▶ Then,

$(MW(\mathcal{E}), \perp)$ has a *monoid* structure.

- ▶ $(MW(\mathcal{E}), \perp)$ is said to be the **Witt monoid** of $(\mathcal{E}, *, \pi)$.

Lagrangian, Metabolic, Neutral

Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, *, \pi)$ is an exact category (small) with duality.

- ▶ Let (P, φ) be a symmetric space. A **lagrangian** of (P, φ) is a pair (L, α) such that (**why he talks about admissible?**)

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \xrightarrow{\alpha^* \varphi} L^* \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{is exact.}$$

- ▶ The following diagram is helpful:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & P & \xrightarrow{\alpha^* \varphi} & L^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \varphi & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & P^* & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & L^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Continued: Lagrangian, Metabolic, Neutral

- ▶ A symmetric space (P, φ) is said to be **metabolic** or **neutral**, if it has a lagrangian.
- ▶ Let $NW(\mathcal{E})$ be the set of all isometry classes of metabolic spaces. Then, $NW(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq MW(\mathcal{E})$ is a **submonoid**.

Quotient by submonoid

Let M be a monoid and N be a submonoid. We want to define the quotient.

- ▶ For $x, y \in M$ define

$$x \sim y \quad \text{if} \quad x + n_1 = y + n_2 \quad \text{for some} \quad n_i, n_2 \in N.$$

This is an equivalence relation.

- ▶ Let M/N be the set of all equivalence classes \bar{x} of elements of $x \in M$.
- ▶ M/N has a well define monoid structure, given by

$$\bar{x} + \bar{y} := \overline{x + y} \quad \forall x, y \in M$$

The Group Structure: Quotient by submonoid

Lemma. Let $N \subseteq M$ be as above.

▶ Assume,

$$\forall x \in M \quad \exists y \in M \quad \ni \quad x + y \in N.$$

Then, M/N has a **group structure**.

Hyperbolic Spaces

- ▶ Suppose (P, φ) is a symmetric space. Define the **Hyperbolic** space

$$\mathcal{H}(P) := ((P, \varphi) \perp (P, -\varphi))$$

- ▶ It follows

$$\mathcal{H}(P) \cong \left(P \oplus P^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_{P^*} \\ \pi_P & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \equiv \left(P \oplus P^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_{P^*} \\ 1_P & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

are **isometric**. In the last equality " \equiv " we treat $\pi_P = 1_P$.

Continued: Hyperbolic Spaces

The isometry is the top line of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P \oplus P & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} .5 & .5 \\ \varphi & -\varphi \end{pmatrix}} & P \oplus P^* \\
 (\varphi, -\varphi) \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 P^* \oplus P^* & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} .5 & \varphi \\ .5 & -\varphi \end{pmatrix}} & P^* \oplus P
 \end{array}$$

Continued: Hyperbolic Spaces

Lemma: Let (P, φ) be a symmetric space. Then, $\mathcal{H}(P)$ is **neutral**.

Proof. Take $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} : P \longrightarrow P \oplus P$ Then,

$$\alpha^* \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & -\varphi \end{pmatrix} = (\varphi, -\varphi) : P \oplus P \longrightarrow P^*.$$

It follows

$$0 \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \oplus P \longrightarrow P^* \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{is exact.}$$

The Witt Group

Let $(\mathcal{E}, *, \pi)$ be an exact category with duality. Define

$$W(\mathcal{E}) := W(\mathcal{E}, *, \pi) := \frac{MW(\mathcal{E})}{NW(\mathcal{E})}$$

- ▶ $W(\mathcal{E})$ has a group structure.
- ▶ $W(\mathcal{E})$ is called the **Witt Group** of \mathcal{E} , or of $(\mathcal{E}, *, \pi)$.

The Witt Group of Projective Modules

Let A be a commutative noetherian ring. We defined, the exact category $(\mathcal{P}(A), *, \pi)$ of projective modules. Define the **Witt group of A** as

$$W(A) := W(\mathcal{P}(A), *, \pi).$$

The Witt Group of Modules of FPDFL

Let A be a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Assume $\dim A_m = d$ for all maximal ideals.

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{A} = \text{FPDFL}(A)$ be the category of modules of finite length and finite projective dimension.
- ▶ Then, \mathcal{A} is an exact category.
- ▶ For objects $M \in \mathcal{A}$, define $M^\vee := \text{Ext}^d(M, A)$.
- ▶ There is a natural isomorphism $\pi : M \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{\vee\vee}$.
- ▶ (\mathcal{A}, \vee, π) defines an exact category with duality.
- ▶ The Witt Group $W(\mathcal{A}) := W(\mathcal{A}, \vee, \pi)$ is called the Witt group of FPDFL modules.

Exercise

Let F be a field.

- ▶ Let (V, φ) be a regular symmetric space over F . Then, if (V, φ) is neutral, then it is hyperbolic, in the sense of the book of Lam ([Lam]).
- ▶ Temporarily, let $\mathcal{W}(F)$ denote the Witt group of F , as defined in the book of Lam ([Lam]) and $W(F)$ denote the Witt group defined as above. Prove $\mathcal{W}(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(F)$.

δ -exact Functors

K be a Δ ed category and $T : K \xrightarrow{\sim} K$ be the translation.
 Suppose $\delta = \pm 1$. An additive contravariant functor
 $\# : K \rightarrow K$ is called δ -exact,

- ▶ if $T \circ \# = \# \circ T^{-1}$ (equivalently, if $\# T = T^{-1} \#$).
- ▶ and if \forall exact Δ s,

$$A \xrightarrow{u} B \xrightarrow{v} C \xrightarrow{w} TA$$

the "Dual" Δ ,

$$C^\# \xrightarrow{v^\#} B^\# \xrightarrow{v^\#} A^\# \xrightarrow{\delta T(w^\#)} T(C^\#) \text{ is exact.}$$

Duality on Δ ed categories

A δ -exact functor $\# : K \rightarrow K$ is said to be a δ -duality on K , if \exists natural equivalence $\varpi : Id \xrightarrow{\sim} \#\# \ni \forall$ objects M in K

- ▶ The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M\# & \xrightarrow{\varpi_{M\#}} & M\#\#\# & \text{commutes.} \\
 \parallel & \searrow & & \\
 & & (\varpi_M)\# & \\
 M\# & & &
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ And

$\varpi_{T(M)} = T(\varpi_M)$. Diagrammatically,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 TM & \xrightarrow{T(\varpi_M)} & T(M\#\#\#) \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 TM & \xrightarrow{\varpi_{TM}} & (TM)\#\#\#
 \end{array}$$

Duality on Δ ed categories

- ▶ A triangulated category K with such a duality $\#$ is called a **triangulated category with δ -duality**. Sometimes we denote it by (K, T, δ, ϖ) or simply by K .
- ▶ When $\delta = -1$, it is also referred to a **skew duality**. We are, in this case, thinking of the skew symmetric matrices.

Example I

Let A be a noetherian commutative ring with $\dim A = d$.

- ▶ The Derived category $D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is a Δ ed category with $\delta = \pm 1$ duality, induced by $\text{Hom}(-, A)$, meaning the dual of of the first line in the textcolorredsecond line:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P_0 & \longrightarrow & P_{-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & & & & & & & \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_{-1}^* & \longrightarrow & P_0^* & \longrightarrow & P_1^* & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & | & & | & & | & & \\
 & & | & & | & & | & & \\
 \text{degree} = & & 1 & & 0 & & -1 & &
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ **Same is true** if we replace A by a noetherian scheme A .

Example II

Assume A is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $\mathcal{A} = \text{FPDFL}(A)$.

- ▶ The Derived category $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ is a Δ ed category with $\delta = \pm 1$ duality, $\#$ is induced by $M^\vee := \text{Ext}^d(M, A)$.
- ▶ **Remark.** In $D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ and $D^b(\mathcal{A})$, for the translation $T(P_\bullet)$, it is customary to change the sign of the differential.
- ▶ **Exercise.** $K^b(\mathcal{P}(A)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$.

Preview

As we saw in the case of exact category with duality, to define Witt groups of a category, we need two things:

- ▶ A concept of **duality**.
- ▶ A concept of **Orthogonal Sum**.
- ▶ A concept of **Neutral**.

We will define Witt groups of Δ ed categories with duality.

Symmetric Spaces

$(K, T, \#, \varpi)$ will denote a Δ ed category with δ -duality.

- ▶ A **symmetric space** in K is a pair (P, φ) such that

$\varphi : P \xrightarrow{\sim} P^\#$ is isomorphism, and $P \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi} P^\#$ commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi} & P^\# \\
 \varpi \downarrow & \nearrow \varphi^\# & \\
 P^{\#\#} & &
 \end{array}$$

We sometimes (often) say $\varphi = \varphi^\#$.

- ▶ Symmetric spaces are also referred to as **symmetric form**.
- ▶ (P, φ) is called **skew symmetric space**, if $\varphi = -\varphi^\# \varpi$.

Neutral Forms

$(K, T, \#, \varpi)$ be a Δ ed category with δ -duality. A symmetric form (P, ϖ) is said to be a **neutral form**, if $\exists L, \alpha, w$ such that

- ▶ $w : T^{-1}(L^\#) \rightarrow L$, $\alpha : L \rightarrow P$ are a morphisms.
- ▶ $T^{-1}(w^\#) = (\delta\varpi_L)^{-1}ow$. Diagrammatically,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^{-1}(L^\#) & \xrightarrow{w} & L \\
 \searrow T^{-1}(w^\#) & & \downarrow \delta\varpi \\
 & & L^{\#\#}
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{Often written as} \quad T^{-1}(w^\#) = \delta w.$$

- ▶ And $(P, \varphi) = \text{cone}(w)$, which means that the triangle

$$T^{-1}(L^\#) \xrightarrow{w} L \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \xrightarrow{\alpha^\# \varphi} L^\# \quad \text{is exact.}$$

The Witt Groups

$(K, T, \#, \varpi)$ be a Δ ed category with δ -duality.

- ▶ $MW(K) := MW(K, T, \#, \varpi)$ be the set of all isometry classes of symmetric spaces in K . The orthogonal sum gives a monoid structure on $MW(K)$. We call it the **Witt monoid** of K or of $(K, T, \#, \varpi)$.
- ▶ Let $NW(K) := NW(K, T, \#, \varpi) \subseteq MW(K)$ denote the submonoid of neutral spaces.
- ▶ Define,

$$W(K) := W(K, T, \#, \varpi) := \frac{MW(K, T, \#, \varpi)}{NW(K, T, \#, \varpi)}$$

A priori, $W(K)$ is monoid.

Conitnued: The Witt Groups

- ▶ Given a symmetric space (L, φ) , define the Hyperbolic space $\mathcal{H}(L, \chi) := (L, \varphi) \perp (L, -\chi)$
- ▶ **Lemma.** $\mathcal{H}(L, \varphi)$ is a neutral form.
- ▶ **Theorem.** $W(K)$ is a group.
- ▶ **Proof.** We only need to prove the lemma. Write $P = L \oplus L$ and

$$\varphi = (\chi \perp \chi) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi & 0 \\ 0 & -\chi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof: Hyperbolic is Neutral

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T^{-1}(L^\#)^{w=0} & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & L \oplus L & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\# \varphi} & L^\# \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \chi & \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right) & \downarrow \varphi & \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) & \parallel \\
 T^{-1}(L^\#)^0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & L \oplus L & \longrightarrow & L^\# \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) & & \parallel \\
 T^{-1}(L^\#)^0 & \longrightarrow & L^\# & \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right)} & L^\# \oplus L^\# & \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)} & L^\#
 \end{array}$$

The first Δ is exact, because the last Δ is. The latter follows from the fact that direct sum of exact Δ s is exact. ■

The Shifted Structure

$(K, T, \#, \varpi)$ be a Δ ed category with δ -duality.

- ▶ Then, $T(K, T, \#, \varpi) := T(K, T, T\#, -\delta\varpi)$ is also Δ ed category with $-\delta$ -duality.
- ▶ Likewise, $T^{-1}(K, T, \#, \varpi) := T(K, T, T^{-1}\#, \delta\varpi)$ is also Δ ed category with $-\delta$ -duality.
- ▶ Inductively, $T^n(K, T, \#, \varpi)$ are defined $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. These are referred to as **shifted structure**.
- ▶ It is easy to see
 $T^2 : T^n(K, T, \#, \varpi) \xrightarrow{\sim} T^{n+4}(K, T, \#, \varpi)$ is an equivalence of categories.

Shifted Witt Groups

- ▶ Define the **shifted Witt groups**





$$W^n(K) := W(T^n(K, T, \#, \varpi)).$$

- ▶ It follows $W^n(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} W^{n+4}(K)$.

Shifted Derived Categories

Suppose A is a noetherian commutative ring with $\dim A = d$. Let $D_{\text{fl}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ be the subcategory of $D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$, consisting of complexes $P_\bullet \in D^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ with finite length homologies.

- ▶ Then, $D_{\text{fl}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ is also a Δ ed category.
- ▶ Hence the shifted structures $T^n D_{\text{fl}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A)) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are also Δ ed categories.
- ▶ Of particular interest is $T^d D_{\text{fl}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A))$ and shifted Witt group $W^d(D_{\text{fl}}^b(\mathcal{P}(A)))$.

-  Weibel, Charles A. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xiv+450 pp.
-  PAUL BALMER, *Triangular Witt Groups Part I: The 12-Term Localization Exact Sequence*, K-Theory 19: 311?-63, 2000
-  PAUL BALMER, *Triangular Witt groups Part II: From usual to derived* Math. Z. 236, 351-382 (2001)
-  T. Y.Lam, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms over Fields*. The Book.