

Perfect Modules and K -Theory localization sequences

Satya Mandal
University of Kansas

Tata Institute
22 May 2024

Prelude

- ▶ Algebraic K -Theory may mean different things to **different cohort**. Few experts know more than a few facets of Algebraic K -Theory.
- ▶ Classically, for a commutative ring A , three groups $K_0(A)$, $K_1(A)$, $K_2(A)$ were defined. Some exact sequences of such groups were also defined.

Prelude

- ▶ Subsequently, the leaders tried to define higher K -groups $K_n(A) \forall n \geq 0$, and establish all the usual and expected exact sequences.
- ▶ Eventually, Daniel Quillen defined these groups $K_n(A) \forall n \geq 0$, and more. I talk about Quillen K -Theory.

Basic Notations

- ▶ Throughout, X will denote a quasi projective scheme over a noetherian affine scheme $\text{Spec}(A)$.

You can assume $X = \text{Spec}(A)$.

- ▶ We use the usual notations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{QCoh}(X) = \text{Category of quasi coherent modules on } X \\ \text{Coh}(X) = \text{Category of coherent modules on } X \\ \mathcal{V}(X) = \text{Category of locally free modules on } X \end{array} \right.$$

Perfect Modules on X

- ▶ Recall, $grade(M) = \min \{r : \mathcal{E}xt^r(M, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0\}$.

If X is Cohen-Macaulay then

$$grade(M) = \text{co dim}(\text{Supp}(M)) = \text{height}(\text{ann}(M))$$

- ▶ For a closed subset $Z \subseteq X$,

$$grade(Z, X) := grade(\mathcal{O}_Z)$$

with any subscheme structure \mathcal{O}_Z on Z .

Perfect Modules

- ▶ A module $M \in \text{Coh}(X)$ will be called a **Perfect-module**

$$\text{if } \quad \text{grade}(M) = \dim_{\mathcal{V}(X)} M$$

- ▶ They have only one non vanishing $\mathcal{E}xt^k(M, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$.
- ▶ **Perfect** modules will also be referred to as **CM-modules** on X , or **CM(X)-modules**. There is a limited amount of literature on these.

Examples

- ▶ Suppose $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ is a locally complete intersection ideal with $\text{height}(\mathcal{I}) = k$. Then

$$M = \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{\mathcal{I}} \text{ is a } \text{CM}(X)\text{-module.}$$

Notations

Let $Z \subseteq X$ be closed. Denote the full subcategories

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Coh}^Z(X) = \{M \in \text{Coh}(X) : \text{Supp}(M) \subseteq Z\} \\ \text{If } Z = V(I), M \in \text{Coh}^Z(X) \iff V(\text{ann}(M)) \subseteq V(I) \\ \mathbb{M}(X) = \{M \in \text{Coh}(X) : \dim_{\mathcal{V}(X)}(M) < \infty\} \\ \mathbb{M}^Z(X) = \{M \in \mathbb{M}(X) : \text{Supp}(M) \subseteq Z\} \\ \text{CM}^Z(X) = \{M \in \mathbb{M}^Z(X) : \dim_{\mathcal{V}(X)}(M) = \text{grade}(\mathcal{O}_Z)\} \\ M \in \text{CM}^Z(X) \implies M \text{ is perfect.} \\ \text{D}^b(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Bounded Derived Category of } \mathcal{E} \end{array} \right.$$

Derived Equivalence Theorem

The following functors are **equivalences of derived categories**.

$$D^b(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) \xrightarrow[\zeta]{\sim} D^b(\mathrm{M}^Z(X)) \xrightarrow[\iota]{\sim} \mathcal{D}^Z(\mathrm{M}(X)) \xleftarrow[\iota']{\sim} \mathcal{D}^Z(\mathcal{V}(X))$$

Here

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D}^Z(\mathcal{V}(X)) = \text{Bounded Derived category of complexes} \\ \text{whose homologies have support in } Z \\ \mathcal{D}^Z(\mathrm{M}(X)) = \dots \end{array} \right.$$

K -Theory and Commutative Algebra

- ▶ K -Theory is an **invariant of such** Derived categories.
- ▶ Main ingredient to prove these Derived equivalences comes from the some improvisation of some commutative algebra methods, as follows.

Approximation Theorem

X be quasi projective, $Z \subseteq X$ closed, $\text{grade}(Z, X) = k$. Let

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_k \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{-1}$$

be a complex in $\text{Coh}(X) \ni \mathcal{H}_i(\mathcal{F}_\bullet) \in \text{Coh}^Z(X), \forall 0 \leq i \leq k$.

Then there are maps of complexes $\nu_\bullet : \mathcal{E}_\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_k & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \nu_k \downarrow & & & & \nu_1 \downarrow & & \nu_0 \downarrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_k & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{-1} \end{array}$$

Continued: Theorem:

such that

1. $\mathcal{E}_i \in \mathcal{V}(X) \forall i$, and $\mathcal{E}_i = 0$ unless $0 \leq i \leq k$.
2. The map $\mathcal{H}_0(\nu_\bullet) : \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{E}_\bullet) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ is surjective.
3. $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{E}_\bullet) \in \text{CM}^k(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}_i(\mathcal{E}_\bullet) = 0 \forall i \neq 0$.

Commutative Algebra: Comments:

- ▶ \mathcal{E}_\bullet would be a direct sum of certain Koszul complexes.
- ▶ Method of proofs goes back to a unpublished paper of Hans-Bjørn Foxby, executed for finite length homologies. Same was used in the paper of Roberts-Srinivas.
- ▶ In our case, we embed $X \subseteq Y = \text{Proj}(S)$. The proof essentially reduces to the case, when $X = Y = \text{Proj}(S)$. Then the method of **Prime avoidance works**.

K -Theory Spaces

Applications To K – Theory

K -Theory Spaces

- Define the K -Theory space of a (small) exact category \mathcal{E} ,

$$K(\mathcal{E}) = \Omega |N_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E})|$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E} = \text{the } \mathbb{Q} \text{ category of } \mathcal{E} \\ N_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}) = \text{the Nerve of } \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E}; \text{ a simplicial set} \\ |N_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E})| = \text{the Geometric realization,} \\ \text{AKA the classifying space of } \mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E} \\ \Omega |N_{\bullet}(\mathbb{Q}\mathcal{E})| = \text{the loop space (space of all loops)} \end{array} \right.$$

K -Groups

- ▶ The K -groups are defined as $K_n(\mathcal{E}) = \pi_n(K(X)) \forall n \geq 0$
- ▶ The K -theory **spectra** is also defined, subsequently by Waldhausen and Thomason to obtain **negative K -groups**, which we postpone or skip.

As Functors

- ▶ Let $\underline{\text{CatExact}}$ denote the category of (small) exact categories, and Exact Functors.

- ▶ The association

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E} \mapsto K(\mathcal{E}) \\ F \mapsto K(F) \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{is a functor } \underline{\text{CatExact}} \longrightarrow \underline{\text{Top}} \\ \text{In fact, } K(\mathcal{E}) \text{ is a } \textit{CW complex}. \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ The association

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E} \mapsto K_n(\mathcal{E}) \\ F \mapsto K_n(F) \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{is a sequence of functors} \\ \underline{\text{CatExact}} \longrightarrow \underline{\text{Ab}} \end{array} \right.$$

Primary Interest

My primary interest has been in the K -Theory of schemes.

I work on quasi projective schemes X over $\text{Spec}(A)$.

$Z \subseteq X$ be closed, $U = X - Z$.

- ▶ The K -Theory of $\text{Coh}(X)$ and $\mathcal{V}(X)$ are of interest.
- ▶ Relationships between the K -theories, $K(\text{Coh}(X))$, $K(\text{Coh}(U))$, $K(\text{Coh}(Z))$, and $K(\mathcal{V}(X))$, $K(\mathcal{V}(U))$, $K(\mathcal{V}(Z))$ **are of interest.**

Clarifications are needed, what kind of scheme structure we impose on Z , if any.

Primary Interest

- ▶ Quillen's results on $K(\text{Coh}(X))$, are most up to date. So, rest of the talk, we **focus on $K(\mathcal{V}(X))$** .
- ▶ There is also interest in **Grothendieck-Witt theory**, by incorporating dualities, when available. For example, there are natural dualities

$$\begin{cases} \text{On } \mathcal{V}(X) & \mathcal{E} \mapsto \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \text{On } \text{CM}^Z(X) & M \mapsto \text{Ext}^{\text{grade}(Z)}(M, \mathcal{O}_X) \end{cases}$$

Theory works **fairly similar to K -Theory**. I only outline the results.

Primary Interest

- ▶ It follows from the **resolution theorem** that

$$K(\mathcal{V}(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(\mathbb{M}(X))$$

is a homotopy equivalence. Consequently,

$$K_n(\mathcal{V}(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_n(\mathbb{M}(X)) \quad \text{is isomorphism, } \forall n \geq 0.$$

Exercise: Prove $K_0(\mathcal{V}(X)) \cong K_0(\mathbb{M}(X))$.

- ▶ So, our focus is now on $\mathbb{M}(X)$.

The Homotopy Fiber

As before X is quasi projective, $Z \subseteq X$ is closed, $U = X - Z$.

- ▶ Note U has a natural subscheme structure. Consider the map of K-Theory spaces $K(\mathcal{V}(X)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} K(\mathcal{V}(U))$
- ▶ Topologically, there is a Homotopy Fiber:

$$\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{V}(X)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} K(\mathcal{V}(U))$$

The Triangle Fiber

- This leads to a long exact sequence of Homotopy groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)) & \longrightarrow & K_n(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & K_n(\mathcal{V}(U)) \\ & & & & & & \\ & & \longrightarrow & \pi_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \end{array}$$

The Triangles

- ▶ They are like Triangles of spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon) & \\
 \wr \swarrow & & \nwarrow \Omega K \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon) \\
 K(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & K(\mathcal{V}(U))
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \pi_{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)) & \\
 \swarrow & & \nwarrow -1 \\
 K_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & K_{\bullet}(\mathcal{V}(U))
 \end{array}$$

The Description of K -theory fiber

- ▶ So, the question is what kind of Algebraic description can we give for $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)$, which should be basically depend on Z .
- ▶ **Main Result.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(\varepsilon) && \text{is a homotopy equivalence} \\ K_n(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_n(\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)) && \text{is an isomorphism } \forall n \end{aligned}$$

The Exact sequence

In fact, there is a long exact sequence of (**negative**) \mathbb{K} -groups

...

...

...

$$K_1(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathcal{V}(X)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} K_1(\mathcal{V}(U)) \longrightarrow$$

$$K_0(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{V}(X)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} K_0(\mathcal{V}(U)) \longrightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathcal{V}(X)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathcal{V}(U)) \longrightarrow$$

...

...

The Description of $\mathbb{G}W$ -theory fiber

We prove exactly similar results in $\mathbb{G}W$ -Theory.

Original Theorem of Quillen

The above directly extends the follow theorem of Quillen (from the a paper of Daniel Grayson):

Theorem:(Quillen) Suppose X is a quasi compact scheme, $U = \text{Spec}(A) \subseteq X$ is an affine open subschemes of X , and $Z = X - U$. Assume that Z has a subscheme structure, defined by an invertible ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$. (It means Z is *local complete intersection of codimension one!*)

Continued

Consider the full subcategory:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ \mathcal{F} \in \text{QCoh}(X) : \mathcal{F}|_U = 0, \dim_{\mathcal{V}(X)}(\mathcal{F}) = 1 \}$$

Then there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{V}(X)) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{V}(U)) \\ &\longrightarrow K_{n-1}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

ending at degree zero.

perspective

- ▶ A close look reveals $\mathcal{H} = \mathrm{CM}^Z(X)$. Thus my result above is exactly in tune with the result of Quillen, which works only when $\mathrm{codim}(Z) = 1$. The result was written in a paper of Daniel Grayson.
- ▶ In between, a description of the homotopy fiber $\mathcal{F}(\varepsilon)$ was given by Thomason. This was stated in terms of K -Theory of the respective **chain complex category**.

Jargon of Spectra

To define negative homotopy groups, spectrum is defined in topology.

Definitions: A **Spectrum** is a sequence

$E = \{E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots\}$, together with bonding maps

$\sigma_n : E_n \longrightarrow \Omega E_{n+1}$. Here ΩE_{n+1} denote the loop space.

For such a spectrum and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define homotopy groups

$$\pi_n(E) = \lim \left\{ \pi_{n+l}(\Omega^{k-l} E_k) : k \geq 0, n+l \geq 0, k-l \geq 0 \right\}$$

Jargon of Spectra

Given an exact category \mathcal{E} we can define a spectrum

$\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}) = \{\mathbb{K}^k(\mathcal{E}) : k \geq 0\}$. Consequently,

$\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}) = \pi_n(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}))$ is defined $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Our results above can be stated in terms of \mathbb{K} -theory spectrum.

Results on \mathbb{K} -theory Spectra

- ▶ There is a zig-zag sequence

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{V}(X)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{V}(U))$$

that is a homotopy fibration of \mathbb{K} -Theory spectra.

- ▶ We prove similar results on Grothendieck Witt theory Bi-spectra.

Two Step Proof:

We check:

- ▶ There is an equivalence $D^b(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}^Z(\mathcal{V}(X))$.
- ▶ The zig-zag sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 D^b(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) & & & & \\
 \downarrow \wr & \searrow & & & \\
 \mathcal{D}^Z(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \longrightarrow & D^b(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \longrightarrow & D^b(\mathcal{V}(U))
 \end{array}$$

is exact up to direct summand (*up to factor*).

Two Step Proof:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{V}(U)) \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\
 & & \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{V}(X))) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{V}(U))) \\
 & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\
 \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{Ch}_Z^b(\mathrm{CM}(X))) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathrm{M}(X))) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathrm{M}(U))) \\
 \uparrow \wr & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\
 \mathbb{K}(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Agreement
Homo Fibration

Routine Applications

There are some routine applications of the exact sequences, as above. We mention two.

The Singular Locus

Corollary: Let X be a quasi projective scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ and Z be the singular locus of X and $U = X - Z$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{V}(U)) & \longrightarrow & \\ & & & & & & \\ K_0(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{V}(U)) & \longrightarrow & \\ & & & & & & \\ \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathrm{CM}^Z(X)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \end{array}$$

The Singular Locus

Further,

$$\mathbb{K}_{-n}(CM^Z(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{K}_{-n}(\mathcal{V}(X)) \text{ is isomorphism } \forall n \geq 2$$

Proof. Note that U is non singular. So, we have

$$\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{V}(U)) = 0 \quad \forall n \leq -1. \quad \blacksquare$$

A point support

Corollary: Let X be a quasi projective scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ and $Z = V(\mathfrak{m})$ be a closed point in X and $U = X - Z$ be punctured open schem. Then we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{V}(U)) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & & & & & \\ K_0(\mathrm{CM}^{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{m})}(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{V}(U)) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & & & & & \\ \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathrm{CM}^{\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{m})}(X)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathcal{V}(X)) & \longrightarrow & \dots & & \end{array}$$

Continued

If the residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{m}) \in \mathbb{M}(X)$ then a lot is known about $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathrm{CM}^{\vee(\mathfrak{m})}(X))$.

Notations

Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Denote

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{M}(X) = \{M \in \text{Coh}(X) : \dim_{\mathcal{V}(X)}(M) < \infty\} \\ \mathbb{M}^k(X) = \{M \in \mathbb{M}(X) : \text{grade}(M) \geq k\} \\ \text{CM}^k(X) = \{M \in \mathbb{M}(X) : \text{grade}(M) = \dim_{\mathcal{V}(X)}(M) = k\} \\ X^{(k)} = \{\emptyset \in X : \text{co dim}(V(\emptyset)) = k\} \\ \forall x \in X, X_x := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \end{array} \right.$$

Older results: Gersten complexes

Using same kind of methods, we have older results:

- ▶ Assume X is Cohen Macaulay. Then the sequence

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}^{k+1}(X)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}^k(X)) \longrightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^{(k)}} \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{M}^k(X_x))$$

is a Homotopy Fibration of \mathbb{K} -Theory spectra.

- ▶ This leads up to the Gersten \mathbb{K} -Theory complexes.
- ▶ We have exactly similar results on Gersten $\mathbb{G}W$ -Theory complexes.

Thank you!