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#### Abstract

Let $A$ be a noetherian commutative ring of dimension $d$ and $L$ be a rank one projective $A$-module. For $1 \leq r \leq d$, we define obstruction groups $E^{r}(A, L)$. This extends the original definition due to Nori, in the case $r=d$. These groups would be called Euler class groups. In analogy to intersection theory in algebraic geometry, we define a product (intersection) $E^{r}(A, A) \times E^{s}(A, A) \rightarrow E^{r+s}(A, A)$. For a projective $A$-module $Q$ of rank $n \leq d$, with an orientation $\chi: L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^{n} Q$, we define a Chern class like homomorphism


$$
w(Q, \chi): E^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $L^{\prime}$ is another rank one projective $A$-module.
© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In topology, there is a classical obstruction theory for vector bundles (See [13]). The germ of the obstruction theory in algebra was given by Nori, around 1990 [6,10]. For a smooth affine variety $X=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ over an infinite field $k$, with $\operatorname{dim} A=d \geq 2$, Nori outlined a definition of an obstruction group $E(A)$. Further, for a projective $A$-module $P$ of rank $d$, with an orientation (isomorphism) $\chi: A \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^{d} P$, Nori outlined a definition of an obstruction class $e(P, \chi) \in E(A)$.

The definition of Nori was later extended by Bhatwadekar and Sridharan [2]. Given a noetherian commutative ring $A$ with $\operatorname{dim} A=d \geq 2$ and a rank one projective $A$-module $L$, they defined an obstruction group $E(A, L)$. In addition, if $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq A$, given a projective $A$-module $P$ of rank $d$ and an orientation $\chi: L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^{d} P$, they defined an obstruction class $e(P, \chi) \in E(A, L)$. They proved that $P \approx Q \oplus A$ if and only if $e(P, \chi)=0$. Surpassing all expectations, a solid body of work has been accomplished regarding the obstructions for projective $A$-modules $P$ with $\operatorname{rank}(P)=d=\operatorname{dim} A$. Among them are $[6,10,1,2,4,8,9]$.

The theory in topology is much advanced and complete. For a real smooth manifold $M$ with $\operatorname{dim} M=d$ and a vector bundle $V$ of rank $r \leq d$, there is an obstruction group and an obstruction class (called Whitney class) $w(V)$ in the obstruction group. If $V$ has a nowhere vanishing section, then $w(V)=0$. In case $\operatorname{rank}(V)=r=d, V$ has a nowhere vanishing section if and only if $w(V)=0$.

Obstruction theory in algebra remains incomplete in this respect. At this time, barring [3], the theory is confined to the case when $\operatorname{rank}(P)=d=\operatorname{dim} A$. Further, the intersection theory (see [5]) in algebraic geometry has also been fairly advanced and complete. Because of such an advanced status of these two theories, there has been expectations that the obstruction theory in algebra would have a similar advanced counter part. In this paper, we try to establish a foundation for a theory of algebraic obstructions, in analogy to the aforementioned theories in topology and algebraic geometry.

Given a commutative noetherian ring $A$ of dimension $d$ and a rank one projective $A$-module $L$, in this paper we give a definition of obstruction groups $E^{r}(A, L)$, for $r \geq 1$. These groups will be called Euler class groups. The obstruction group

[^0]$E(A, L)$ mentioned above would be same as $E^{d}(A, L)$. Now, suppose $Q$ is a projective $A$-module with $\operatorname{rank}(Q)=n$ and an orientation (isomorphism) $\chi: L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^{n} Q$. In [5], the top Chern class was defined as a homomorphism of degree $n$. In the same spirit, if $n \leq d-2$ and $L^{\prime}$ is another projective $A$-module of rank one, we define a Whitney class homomorphism
$$
w(Q, \chi): E^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E^{d}\left(A, L^{\prime} L\right)
$$

In case $\operatorname{rank}(Q)=n=d-1$, the same homomorphism is defined for $L^{\prime}=A$. This homomorphism is compatible with the top Chern class homomorphism of $Q$. For $r \geq 2, s \geq 1$, we also define bilinear maps (intersection):

$$
\cap: E^{r}(A, L) \times E^{s}(A, A) \rightarrow E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

For $r=1$, the same is defined with $L=A$.

## 2. Euler class groups

In this section we define general Euler (obstruction) class groups of commutative noetherian rings.
Definition 2.1. Let $A$ be a commutative noetherian ring with $\operatorname{dim} A=d$ and $L$ be a rank one projective $A$-module. We write $F_{r}=L \oplus A^{r-1}$.

For an $A$-module $M$, the group of transvections of $M$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{E l}(M)$ (see [7] for a definition).

1. A local L-orientation is a pair $(I, \omega)$, where $I$ is an ideal of $A$ of height $r$ and $\omega$ is an equivalence class of surjective homomorphisms $\omega: F_{r} / I F_{r} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$. The equivalence is defined by $£ l\left(F_{r} / I F_{r}\right)$-maps. Sometimes, we will simply say that $\omega$ is a local $L$-orientation, to mean that $(I, \omega)$ is a local $L$-orientation. By abuse of notations, we sometimes denote the equivalence class of $\omega$, by $\omega$.
2. Let $\mathcal{L}^{r}(A, L)$ denote the set of all pairs $(I, \omega)$, where $I$ is an ideal of height $r$, such that $\operatorname{Spec}(A / I)$ is connected and $\omega: F_{r} / I F_{r} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$ is a local $L$-orientation.
Similarly, let $\mathcal{L}_{0}^{r}(A, L)$ denote the set of all ideals $I$ of height $r$, such that $\operatorname{Spec}(A / I)$ is connected and there is a surjective homomorphism $F_{r} / I F_{r} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$.
3. Let $G^{r}(A, L)$ denote the free abelian group generated by $\mathcal{L}^{r}(A, L)$ and $G_{0}^{r}(A, L)$ denote the free abelian group generated by $\mathcal{L}_{0}^{r}(A, L)$.
4. Suppose $I$ is an ideal of height $r$ and $\omega: F_{r} / I F_{r} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$ is a local $L$-orientation. By [3], there is a unique decomposition

$$
I=I_{1} \cap I_{2} \cap \cdots \cap I_{k}
$$

such that $I_{i}+I_{j}=A$ for $i \neq j$ and $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A / I_{i}\right)$ is connected. Then $\omega$ naturally induces local $L$-orientations $\omega_{i}: F_{r} / I_{i} F_{r} \rightarrow I_{i} / I_{i}^{2}$. Denote

$$
(I, \omega):=\sum\left(I_{i}, \omega_{i}\right) \in G^{r}(A, L)
$$

Similarly, we denote

$$
(I):=\sum I_{i} \in G_{0}^{r}(A, L)
$$

5. Global orientations: Let $I$ be an ideal and $\omega: F_{r} / I F_{r} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$ be a local $L$-orientation. We say that $\omega$ is global, if there's a surjective lift $\Omega$ of $\omega$ as follows:

6. Let $H^{r}(A, L)$ be the subgroup of $G^{r}(A, L)$, generated by global $L$-orientations. Also, let $H_{0}^{r}(A, L)$ be the subgroup of $G_{0}^{r}(A, L)$, generated by $(I)$ such that $I$ is surjective image of $F_{r}$.
Now define the Euler class group of codimension $r$ cycles as

$$
E^{r}(A, L)=\frac{G^{r}(A, L)}{H^{r}(A . L)}
$$

and the weak Euler class group of codimension $r$ cycles as

$$
E_{0}^{r}(A, L)=\frac{G_{0}^{r}(A, L)}{H_{0}^{r}(A . L)}
$$

Lemma 2.2. We use the notations as in Definition 2.1. For $r \geq 1$, there are natural surjective homomorphisms

$$
\zeta^{r}: E^{r}(A, L) \rightarrow E_{0}^{r}(A, L)
$$

Further, assume that $A$ is Cohen Macaulay. For $r \geq 2$, there are natural homomorphisms

$$
\eta^{r}: E_{0}^{r}(A, L) \rightarrow C H^{r}(A)
$$

and there is a natural homomorphism

$$
\eta^{1}: E_{0}^{1}(A, A) \rightarrow C H^{1}(A)
$$

Here $\mathrm{CH}^{r}(A)$ denotes the Chow group of cycles of codimension $r$ in $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$.
Proof. Existence of $\zeta^{r}$ follows from the above definitions of $E^{r}(A, L), E_{0}^{r}(A, L)$. Existence of $\eta^{r}$ follows directly from [5, Lemma A.2.7.].

## 3. Whitney class homomorphisms

In this section we establish the Whitney class homomorphism of a projective module, as follows.
Theorem 3.1. Let $A$ be a commutative noetherian ring with $\operatorname{dim} A=d \geq 2$ and $L$, $L^{\prime}$ be two rank one projective $A$-modules. Suppose $Q$ is a projective $A$-module with $\operatorname{rank}(Q)=n \leq d-2$ and $\operatorname{det} Q=L$. For an orientation $\chi: L \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^{n} Q$, there is a canonical homomorphism

$$
w(Q, \chi): E^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E^{d}\left(A, L^{\prime} L\right)
$$

Also, for $\operatorname{rank}(Q)=n=d-1$, there is a canonical homomorphism

$$
w(Q, \chi): E^{1}(A, A) \rightarrow E^{d}(A, L)
$$

Proof. We will write $F_{k}=L \oplus A^{k-1}, F_{k}^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \oplus A^{k-1}$. Let $I$ be an ideal of height $d-n$ and

$$
\omega: F_{d-n}^{\prime} / I F_{d-n}^{\prime} \rightarrow I / I^{2}
$$

be an equivalence class of surjective homomorphisms (local $L$-orientation). To each such pair

$$
(I, \omega) \in G^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right)
$$

we will associate an element

$$
w(Q, \chi) \cap(I, \omega) \in E^{d}\left(A, L^{\prime} L\right)
$$

First, we can find an ideal $\tilde{I} \subseteq A$ with height $(\tilde{I}) \geq d$ and a surjective homomorphism $\psi: Q / I Q \rightarrow \tilde{I} / I$. Let $\bar{\psi}=\psi \otimes A / \tilde{I}$ and $\gamma: F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q / \tilde{I} Q$ be an isomorphism such that $\wedge^{n} \gamma=\chi \otimes A / \tilde{I}$. Let $\beta=\bar{\psi} \gamma$ and $\beta^{\prime}: F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{I} / \tilde{I}^{2}$ be a lift of $\beta$. The following diagram

commutes. Further, $\omega$ induces following

commutative diagram, where $\bar{\omega}=\omega \otimes A / \tilde{I}$. Combining $\omega^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$ we get a surjective homomorphism

$$
\delta=\beta^{\prime} \oplus \omega^{\prime}: F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime} / \tilde{I} F_{d-n}^{\prime}=\frac{F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{\tilde{I}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow \tilde{I} / \tilde{I}^{2}
$$

To see that $\delta$ is surjective, consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left(I+\tilde{I}^{2}\right) / \tilde{I}^{2 C} \tilde{I}^{2} / \tilde{I}^{2} \xrightarrow{f} \tilde{I} /\left(I+\tilde{I}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Given $x \in \tilde{I} / \tilde{I}^{2}$, there is $z \in F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n}$ such that $f(x)=\beta(z)$. Therefore, $x-\beta^{\prime}(z) \in\left(I+\tilde{I}^{2}\right) / \tilde{I}^{2}$. This implies that $\omega^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=x-\beta^{\prime}(z)$ for some $z^{\prime} \in F_{d-n}^{\prime} / \tilde{I} F_{d-n}^{\prime}$. This shows that $\delta\left(z+z^{\prime}\right)=x$. This establishes that $\delta$ is surjective.

Now, let $\gamma_{0}: \stackrel{L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}}{I\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{\tilde{I}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)}$ be an isomorphism that is consistent with the natural isomorphism $\chi_{0}$ :


Let $\Delta=\delta \gamma_{0}=\left(\beta^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{0}$. So, the diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{\tilde{I}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)} \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \tilde{I} / \tilde{I}^{2} \\
& \chi_{0} \sim \gamma_{0} \uparrow_{2} \\
& \frac{L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}}{\tilde{I}\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

commutes.
We have $(\tilde{I}, \Delta) \in G^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)$ is a local $L L^{\prime}$-orientation. We will establish that the image of $(\tilde{I}, \Delta)$ in $E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)$ is independent of choices of $\psi$, the lift $\beta^{\prime}$, the representative of $\omega$ and the choice of $\gamma_{0}$.

1. Step-I: First we prove, for a fixed $\psi,(\tilde{I}, \Delta)$ in $E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)$ is independent of the lift $\beta^{\prime}$, the representative $\omega$ and the choice of $\gamma_{0}$.
(a) Suppose $\omega, \omega_{1}$ are equivalent local orientation. Then $\omega_{1}=\omega \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E l}\left(F_{d-n}^{\prime} / I F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)$. Using the canonical homomorphisms

$$
\varepsilon l\left(F_{d-n}^{\prime} / I F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \varepsilon l\left(F_{d-n}^{\prime} / \tilde{I} F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \varepsilon l\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime} / \tilde{I}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

we have $\omega_{1}^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime} \tilde{\epsilon}$. With $\delta_{1}=\left(\beta^{\prime}, \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\delta_{1}=\delta \tilde{\epsilon} \quad \text { where } \tilde{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{E l}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime} / \tilde{I}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Since

$$
\epsilon_{1}=\gamma_{0}^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon} \gamma_{0} \in \mathcal{E l}\left(\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}\right) / \tilde{I}\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}\right)\right)
$$

we have

$$
\Delta_{1}=\delta_{1} \gamma_{0}=\delta \tilde{\epsilon} \gamma_{0}=\Delta \epsilon_{1}
$$

(b) Also, two different lifts $\beta^{\prime}$ of $\beta$ differ in $\left(I+\tilde{I}^{2}\right) / \tilde{I}^{2}$ and would lead to two different $\Delta \mathrm{s}$ that differ by $\mathcal{E l}\left(\frac{L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}}{\tilde{I}\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}\right)}\right)$. Proof. Let $\beta^{\prime \prime}: F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{I} / \tilde{I}^{2}$ be another lift of $\beta$. Then

$$
\phi=\beta^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime \prime}: F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n} \rightarrow\left(I+\tilde{I}^{2}\right) / \tilde{I}^{2}
$$

We have a lift $g$ so that the diagram

commutes. Let

$$
\epsilon_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
g & 1
\end{array}\right): F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime} / \tilde{I} F_{d-n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime} / \tilde{I} F_{d-n}^{\prime}
$$

It follows $\left(\beta^{\prime \prime} \oplus \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right) \epsilon_{2}=\left(\beta^{\prime} \oplus \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, with $\Delta_{2}=\left(\beta^{\prime \prime} \oplus \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{0}$ and $\epsilon_{3}=\gamma_{0}^{-1} \epsilon_{2} \gamma_{0}$, we have

$$
\Delta_{1}=\left(\beta^{\prime} \oplus \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{0}=\left(\beta^{\prime \prime} \oplus \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right) \epsilon_{2} \gamma_{0}=\left(\beta^{\prime \prime} \oplus \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{0}\left(\gamma_{0}^{-1} \epsilon_{2} \gamma_{0}\right)=\Delta_{2} \epsilon_{3}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\Delta=\Delta_{1} \epsilon_{1}^{-1}=\Delta_{2} \epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{1}^{-1}
$$

(c) Again, if $\gamma_{0}=\gamma_{0}^{\prime} \epsilon_{4}$ for some $\epsilon_{4} \in \mathcal{E l}\left(\frac{L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}}{\tilde{I}\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}\right)}\right)$ then

$$
\Delta=\Delta_{2} \epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{1}^{-1}=\left(\beta^{\prime \prime} \oplus \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{0} \epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{1}^{-1}=\left(\beta^{\prime \prime} \oplus \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{0}^{\prime} \epsilon_{4} \epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{1}^{-1} \sim\left(\beta^{\prime \prime} \oplus \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{0}^{\prime}
$$

So, the claim in Step-I is established.
2. Also note, $(\tilde{I}, \Delta)$ is independent of the choice $\gamma$. This is because, two different choices of $\gamma$ would differ by a $\operatorname{SL}\left(F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n}\right)$ map. This will lead to choices of $\beta^{\prime}$ that will differ by a $\operatorname{SL}\left(F_{n} / \tilde{I} F_{n}\right)$-map.
3. Step-II: Now, we prove that $(\tilde{I}, \Delta) \in E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)$ is also independent of $\psi$. That means, it depends only on $(I, \omega)$.
(a) To see this, first we fix a surjective lift $\Omega$ of $\omega$ as follows:

where $K+I=A$ and $K$ is an ideal of height $d-n$ (or $K=A$ ).
(b) We can find an ideal $\tilde{K}$ with height $(\tilde{K}) \geq d$, a surjective homomorphism $\psi^{\prime}$ and replicate the diagram (I):


Here $\gamma^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism so that $\wedge^{n} \gamma^{\prime}=\chi \otimes A / \tilde{K}$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ is a lift of $\eta=\left(\psi^{\prime} \otimes A / \tilde{K}\right) \gamma^{\prime}$.
(c) Let $\omega^{\prime \prime}: F_{d-n}^{\prime} / K F_{d-n}^{\prime} \rightarrow K / K^{2}$ be induced by $\Omega$.
(d) As above, let $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}: \frac{L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}}{\tilde{K}\left(L L^{\oplus} \oplus A^{d-1}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{\tilde{K}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)}$ be an isomorphism consistent with the canonical isomorphism $\chi_{0}$ : $\wedge^{d}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^{d}\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}\right)$.
(e) Combining $\omega^{\prime \prime}, \eta^{\prime}$ we get a surjective homomorphism

$$
\delta^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime} \oplus \omega^{\prime \prime}: F_{n} / \tilde{K} F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime} / \tilde{K} F_{d-n}^{\prime}=\frac{F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{\tilde{K}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow \tilde{K} / \tilde{K}^{2}
$$

(f) Write $\Delta^{\prime}=\delta^{\prime} \gamma_{0}^{\prime}$. We fix $\left(\tilde{K}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)$ and prove that

$$
(\tilde{I}, \Delta)+\left(\tilde{K}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)=0 \in E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

(g) Since $I+K \subseteq \tilde{I}+\tilde{K}$, we have $\tilde{I}+\tilde{K}=A$.
(h) We have

$$
\Psi=\psi \oplus \psi^{\prime}: \frac{Q}{(I \cap K) Q} \approx \frac{Q}{I Q} \oplus \frac{Q}{K Q} \rightarrow \frac{\tilde{I}}{I} \oplus \frac{\tilde{K}}{K} \approx \frac{\tilde{I} \cap \tilde{K}}{I \cap K}
$$

(i) We lift $\Psi=\psi \oplus \psi^{\prime}$ to $\bar{\Psi}$ as follows:


If we reduce this diagram modulo $\tilde{I}$ or $\tilde{K}$, we get the following

commutative diagrams. It follows that

$$
\alpha_{1}=\beta^{\prime} \gamma^{-1}-\hat{\tilde{\Psi}}: Q / \tilde{I} Q \rightarrow \frac{I+\tilde{I}^{2}}{\tilde{I}^{2}} \subseteq \frac{\tilde{I}}{\tilde{I}^{2}}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\alpha_{2}=\eta^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{-1}-\hat{\tilde{\Psi}}: Q / \tilde{K} Q \rightarrow \frac{K+\tilde{K}^{2}}{\tilde{K}^{2}} \subseteq \frac{\tilde{K}}{\tilde{K}^{2}}
$$

We lift $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ to $g_{1}, g_{2}$ so that the diagrams

commute.
(j) Let $g$ be given by $g_{1}, g_{2}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ be given by $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$. Write

$$
\Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
g & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{\gamma} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right): \frac{F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{(\tilde{I} \cap \tilde{K})\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)} \longrightarrow \frac{Q \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{(\tilde{I} \cap \tilde{K})\left(Q \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

(k) Consider the surjective homomorphism

$$
Q \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi} \oplus \Omega} \tilde{I} \cap \tilde{K} .
$$

(1) We claim that the diagram

commutes. Only the commutativity of the bottom triangle needs to be checked. This is done by checking on $V(\tilde{I}), V(\tilde{K})$ separately. We check directly that

$$
(\hat{\tilde{\Psi}} \oplus \bar{\Omega}) \Gamma(0, y)=(\hat{\tilde{\Psi}} \oplus \bar{\Omega})(0, y)=\bar{\Omega}(y)=\left(\delta, \delta^{\prime}\right)(0, y)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\hat{\tilde{\Psi}} \oplus \bar{\Omega}) \Gamma(x, 0) & =(\hat{\tilde{\Psi}} \oplus \bar{\Omega})(\tilde{\gamma}(x), g \tilde{\gamma}(x)) \\
& =\hat{\tilde{\Psi}} \tilde{\gamma}(x)+\bar{\Omega} g \tilde{\gamma}(x)=\hat{\tilde{\Psi}} \tilde{\gamma}(x)+\beta^{\prime} \gamma^{-1} \gamma(x)-\hat{\tilde{\Psi}} \tilde{\gamma}(x) \beta^{\prime}(x)=\left(\delta, \delta^{\prime}\right)(x, 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

(m) Composing with $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, it follows from [2, Cor. 4.4] that $\left(\Delta, \Delta^{\prime}\right)$ is global. Therefore,

$$
(\tilde{I}, \Delta)+\left(\tilde{K}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)=0 \in E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

(n) Since, $\left(\tilde{K}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)$ depends only on $(I, \omega)$, it follows $(\tilde{I}, \Delta)$ is independent of choice of $\psi$. This establishes the claim in Step-II.
4. If $(I, \omega)$ is global, then in the above proof, we can take $K=A$ and it follows $(\tilde{I}, \Delta)$ is global.

Now, the association

$$
(I, \omega) \mapsto(\tilde{I}, \Delta) \in E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

defines a homomorphism

$$
\varphi(Q, \chi): G^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $(I, \omega) \in G^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right)$ are the free generators of $G^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right)$ and $(\tilde{I}, \Delta) \in E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)$ are as above. The above discussions establish that $\varphi(Q, \chi)$ is a well-defined homomorphism.

By (4), it follows that $\varphi$ factors through a homomorphism

$$
w(Q, \chi): E^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
By forgetting the orientation in Theorem 3.1, we have the following for weak Euler class groups.

Corollary 3.2. Let $A, L, L^{\prime}, Q$ be as in Theorem 3.1. If $\operatorname{rank}(Q) \leq d-2$, then there is a canonical homomorphism

$$
w_{0}(Q): E_{0}^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E_{0}^{d}\left(A, L^{\prime} L\right) \approx E_{0}^{d}(A, A)
$$

Also for $\operatorname{rank}(Q)=n=d-1$, there is a canonical homomorphism

$$
w_{0}(Q): E_{0}^{1}(A, A) \rightarrow E_{0}^{d}(A, L) \approx E_{0}^{d}(A, A)
$$

Proof. The isomorphisms at the right side were proved in [2]. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and we give an outline. We will write $F_{k}=L \oplus A^{k-1}, F_{k}^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \oplus A^{k-1}$.

Suppose $(I)$ is a generator of $G_{0}^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right)$. Here $I$ is an ideal of height $d-r, \operatorname{Spec}(A / I)$ is connected and there is a surjective homomorphism $\frac{F^{\prime}}{I F_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$. There is a surjective homomorphism $\psi: Q / I Q \rightarrow \tilde{I} / I$. For such a generator $(I)$ we associate $(\tilde{I}) \in E_{0}^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)$.

We fix a local orientation, $\omega: \frac{F^{\prime}}{I F_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$, and a surjective lift $\Omega: F^{\prime} \rightarrow I \cap K$ of $\omega$, where $K$ is an ideal of height $d-n$. Now, let $\psi^{\prime}: Q / K Q \rightarrow \tilde{K} / K$, be a surjective homomorphism, where $\tilde{K}$ is an ideal of height $d$. As in Theorem 3.1, we prove that there is a surjective homomorphism $L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1} \rightarrow \tilde{I} \cap \tilde{K}$. This shows that

$$
(\tilde{I})+(\tilde{K})=0 \in E_{0}^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

and so $(\tilde{I}) \in E_{0}^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)$ is independent of choice of $\psi$.
This association $(I) \mapsto(\tilde{I}) \in E_{0}^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)$, extends to homomorphism

$$
\varphi_{0}: G_{0}^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E_{0}^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

If (I) is global, i.e. $I$ is surjective image of $F_{d-n}^{\prime}$, taking $K=A$ in the above argument, we prove $(\tilde{I})$ is global. So, $\varphi_{0}$ factors through a homomorphism

$$
w_{0}(Q): E_{0}^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E_{0}^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
Definition 3.3. This homomorphism $w(Q, \chi)$ in Theorem 3.1, will be called the Whitney class homomorphism. The image of $(I, \omega) \in E^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right)$ under $w(Q, \chi)$ will be denoted by $w(Q, \chi) \cap(I, \omega)$.

Similarly, the homomorphism $w_{0}(Q)$ in (3.2) will be called the weak Whitney class homomorphism. The image of $(I) \in$ $E_{0}^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right)$ under $w_{0}(Q)$ will be denoted by $w_{0}(Q) \cap(I)$.

The following is about the compatibility of these homomorphisms, along with the Chern class homomorphisms.
Corollary 3.4. We use the notations as in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2. Further assume that $A$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Then, we have

$$
w_{0}(Q) \zeta^{d-n}=\zeta^{d} w(Q, \chi) \quad \text { and } \quad C^{n}\left(Q^{*}\right) \eta^{d-n}=\eta^{d} w_{0}(Q)
$$

where $\zeta^{d-n}, \zeta^{d}, \eta^{d-n}, \eta^{d}$ are the natural homomorphisms as in Lemma 2.2 and $C^{n}\left(Q^{*}\right)$ denotes the top Chern class homomorphism ([5]) of $Q^{*}$.
Proof. The first identity follows from the definitions of $w_{0}(Q)$ and $w(Q, \chi)$. To prove the second identity, let $I$ be an ideal of height $d-n$ with a surjective homomorphism $\frac{L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-n-1}}{I\left(L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-n-1)}\right.} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$. By Eisenbud-Evans theorem (see [12]), we can find a surjective homomorphism $\psi: Q \rightarrow J$, where $J$ is an ideal of height $(J)=n$ and height $(I+J)=d$. Write $\tilde{I}=I+J$. Note that $I, J, \tilde{I}$ are locally complete intersection ideals. We have,

$$
\eta^{d} w_{0}(Q) \cap(I)=\eta^{d}(\tilde{I})=\operatorname{cycle}(A / \tilde{I})
$$

Also

$$
C^{n}\left(Q^{*}\right) \eta^{d-n}(I)=C^{n}\left(Q^{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{cycle}(A / I)
$$

We have

$$
C^{n}\left((Q / I Q)^{*}\right) \cap(\operatorname{cycle}(A / I))=(\operatorname{cycle}(A / \tilde{I})) \in C H^{n}(A / I)
$$

With $f: \operatorname{Spec}(A / I) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, apply $f_{*}$. By the projection formula [5], we have

$$
C^{n}\left(Q^{*}\right) \cap(\operatorname{cycle}(A / I))=(\operatorname{cycle}(A / \tilde{I})) \in C H^{d}(A)
$$

So, the proof is complete.

The following is about vanishing of Whitney class homomorphisms.
Theorem 3.5. Suppose $A, L, L^{\prime}, Q, \chi, F_{k}, F_{k}^{\prime}$ be as in Theorem 3.1 and its proof. Let I be an ideal of height d-n and $\omega: \frac{F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{I F_{d-n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$ be a local $L^{\prime}$-orientation. If $Q / I Q=P_{0} \oplus A / I$, then

$$
w(Q, \chi) \cap(I, \omega)=0 \in E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

In particular, if $Q=P \oplus A$, then the homomorphism

$$
w(Q, \chi): E^{d-n}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

is identically zero. Similar statements holds for $w_{0}(Q)$.
Proof. Proof of the last statement follows from the former assertions, by (3.4). We will enumerate the steps of the proof of the former assertions.

1. By Eisenbud-Evans theorem [12], there is an ideal $\tilde{I} \subseteq A$ with height $(\tilde{I})=d$ and a surjective homomorphism $\psi: Q / I Q \rightarrow \tilde{I} / I$. The following diagram

commutes. Here $\bar{\psi}=\psi \otimes A / \tilde{I}$ and $\gamma$ is any isomorphism, with $\wedge^{n} \gamma=\chi \otimes A / \tilde{I}, \beta=\bar{\psi} \gamma$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ is a lift of $\beta$.
2. Let $\Omega$ be any lift of $\omega$. Then, the following diagram

commutes. Here $\bar{\omega}=\omega \otimes A / \tilde{I}$.
3. With $Q / I Q=P_{0} \oplus A / I$, let $\theta$ be the restriction of $\psi$ to $P_{0}$. We can write $\psi=(\theta, \bar{a})$ for some $a \in \tilde{I}$. Write $\psi\left(P_{0}\right)=\tilde{J} / I$, for some ideal $\tilde{J}$ containing $I$. In fact, $\operatorname{height}(\tilde{J})=d-1$ and $\tilde{I}=(\tilde{J}, a)$.
4. Since height $(\tilde{J})=d-1$, there is an isomorphism

$$
\gamma^{\prime}:\left(F_{n-1} / \tilde{J} F_{n-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} P_{0} / \tilde{J} P_{0}
$$

5. Define $\chi^{\prime}$ as in the commutative diagram:


By adjusting the determinant, if needed, we can assume that $\wedge^{n-1} \gamma^{\prime}=\chi^{\prime}$.
6. For our purpose, $\gamma$ is a choice. So, we can assume that $\gamma$ is the reduction of $\gamma^{\prime} \oplus 1$.
7. A commutative diagram similar to (I) is induced by $\theta$ as follows:


Here $\bar{\theta}=\theta \otimes A / \tilde{J}$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$ is a lift of $\zeta=\bar{\theta} \gamma^{\prime}$. If we tensor this diagram with $A / \tilde{I}$, we get the following commutative diagram:

8. This shows that $\left(\bar{\zeta}^{\prime}, \bar{a}\right)$ is a lift of $\beta$. Since $w(Q, \chi) \cap(I, \omega)$ is independent of the lift $\beta^{\prime}$ we can assume that

$$
\beta^{\prime}=\left(\overline{\zeta^{\prime}}, \bar{a}\right)
$$

9. We lift $\zeta^{\prime}$ to a homomorphism $\delta: F_{n-1} \rightarrow \tilde{J}$.
10. The homomorphism

$$
(\delta, a, \Omega): F_{n-1} \oplus A \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{I}
$$

$\operatorname{lifts}\left(\beta^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)=\left(\overline{\zeta^{\prime}}, \bar{a}, \omega^{\prime}\right)$.
11. Let $\tilde{J}^{\prime}=\delta\left(F_{n-1}\right)+\Omega\left(F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\tilde{J}=\tilde{J}^{\prime}+\tilde{J}^{2}
$$

To see this, let $y \in \tilde{J}$. Then, from diagram (II), there is $x \in F_{n-1}$ such that

$$
\delta(x)-y=y_{1}+z \quad \text { where } y_{1} \in I, z \in \tilde{J}^{2}
$$

Now, there is $x_{1} \in F_{d-n}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
y_{1}-\Omega\left(x_{1}\right)=z_{1} \in I^{2} \subseteq \tilde{J}^{2}
$$

Therefore $\delta(x)-\Omega\left(x_{1}\right)-y=z+z_{1}$.
12. There is an isomorphism

$$
\gamma_{0}^{\prime \prime}: \frac{L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2}}{\tilde{J}\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{F_{n-1} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{\tilde{J}\left(F_{n-1} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

such that the determinant is given by the commutative diagram:

13. Let

$$
\Gamma_{0}^{\prime \prime}: L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2} \rightarrow F_{n-1} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}
$$

be any lift of $\gamma_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and

$$
\gamma_{0}=\left(\gamma_{0}^{\prime \prime} \oplus 1\right) \otimes A / \tilde{I}: \frac{L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}}{\tilde{I}\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}}{\tilde{I}\left(F_{n} \oplus F_{d-n}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

14. Let $\Gamma=(\delta, \Omega) \Gamma_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. Write $\tilde{J}^{\prime \prime}=\Gamma\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2}\right)$. By (6),

$$
\tilde{J}=\tilde{J}^{\prime \prime}+\tilde{J}^{2}
$$

15. There is an element $\epsilon \in \tilde{J}^{2}$ such that $(1-\epsilon) \tilde{J} \subseteq \tilde{J}^{\prime \prime}$. So, as in [11], we have

$$
\tilde{J}=\left(\tilde{J}^{\prime \prime}, \epsilon\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{I}=(\tilde{J}, a)=\left(\tilde{J}^{\prime \prime}, \epsilon+(1-\epsilon) a\right)
$$

16. It follows, with $b=\epsilon+(1-\epsilon) a$, that

$$
(\Gamma, b):\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2}\right) \oplus A \rightarrow \tilde{I}
$$

is a surjective homomorphism.
17. Now, we have

$$
(\Gamma, b) \otimes A / \tilde{I}=\left((\delta, \Omega) \Gamma_{0}, b\right) \otimes A / \tilde{I}=(\delta, \Omega, b)\left(\Gamma_{0} \oplus 1\right) \otimes A / \tilde{I}
$$

which is

$$
=((\delta, \Omega, b) \otimes A / \tilde{I}) \gamma_{0}=\left(\beta^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right) \gamma_{0} \sim \Delta
$$

The last equality follows from (6). Therefore $\Delta$ is global.
Therefore,

$$
w(Q, \chi) \cap(I, \omega)=(\tilde{I}, \Delta)=0 \in E\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

The proof is complete.
In analogy to results in Chern class theory [5], we have the following.
Corollary 3.6. Let $A$ be a noetherian commutative ring with $\operatorname{dim} A=d \geq 2$. Suppose $L, L_{1}, L_{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}(A)$. Then, for $x \in E_{0}^{d-1}(A, L)$, we have

$$
w_{0}\left(L_{1} L_{2}\right) \cap x=w_{0}\left(L_{1}\right) \cap x+w_{0}\left(L_{2}\right) \cap x \in E_{0}^{d}(A, L) \approx E_{0}^{d}(A, A)
$$

Proof. We can assume $x=(I)$ where $I$ is an ideal of height $d-1$ and there is a surjective homomorphism $\frac{L \oplus A^{d-2}}{I\left(L \oplus A^{d-2}\right)} \rightarrow I / I^{2}$. There are ideals $\tilde{I}_{\tilde{I}}, \tilde{I}_{2}$ of height $d$ and surjective homomorphisms $L_{i} / I L_{i} \rightarrow \tilde{I}_{i} / I \subseteq A / I$, for $i=1$, 2 . Since, $\operatorname{dim} A / I \leq 1$, we can assume that $\tilde{I}_{1}+\tilde{I}_{2}=A$. Therefore,

$$
L_{1} L_{2} \otimes A / I \approx L_{1} / I L_{1} \otimes L_{2} / I L_{2} \quad \text { maps onto } \tilde{I}_{1} / I \otimes \tilde{I}_{2} / I=\tilde{I}_{1} \cap \tilde{I}_{2} / I
$$

So,

$$
w_{0}\left(L_{1} L_{2}\right) \cap(I)=\left(\tilde{I_{1}} \cap \tilde{I}_{2}\right)=\left(\tilde{I}_{1}\right)+\left(\tilde{I}_{2}\right)=w_{0}\left(L_{1}\right) \cap(I)+w_{0}\left(L_{2}\right) \cap(I)
$$

The proof is complete.

## 4. Intersections in Euler class groups

We define an intersection product in the Euler class groups as follows.
Definition 4.1. Suppose $A$ is a noetherian commutative ring with $\operatorname{dim} A=d \geq 2$ and $L, L^{\prime}$ be two projective $A$-modules of rank one. We write $F=L \oplus A^{r-1}, F^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \oplus A^{s-1}$. Let $I$ be an ideal of height $r$ and $J$ be an ideal of height $s$. Assume height $(I+J) \geq r+s$ and suppose

$$
\omega: F / I F \rightarrow I / I^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \omega^{\prime}: F^{\prime} / J F^{\prime} \rightarrow J / J^{2}
$$

are two local orientations. Then $\omega, \omega^{\prime}$ induce a surjective homomorphism

$$
\eta: \frac{F \oplus F^{\prime}}{(I+J)\left(F \oplus F^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{(I+J)}{(I+J)^{2}}
$$

according to the following

commutative diagram, where $\bar{\omega}=\omega \otimes A /(I+J), \bar{\omega}^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime} \otimes A /(I+J)$. (We will continue to abuse notations and denote elements in $G^{r}(A, L)$ their images in $E^{r}(A, L)$ by same notations.)

1. If $L^{\prime}=A$, define intersection

$$
(I, \omega) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right):=(I+J, \eta) \in E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

The right hand side is interpreted as zero, if $I+J=A$.
2. If $r+s=d$, define intersection

$$
(I, \omega) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right):=\left(I+J, \eta \gamma_{0}\right) \in E^{r+s}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{0}: \frac{L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}}{(I+J)\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-1}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{F \oplus F^{\prime}}{(I+J)\left(F \oplus F^{\prime}\right)}$ is any isomorphism with $\wedge^{d} \gamma_{0}=I d$. The right hand side is interpreted as zero, if $I+J=A$.
Subsequently, we prove that the above (4.1) are well defined in the general set-up. The following lemma is about intersections of global orientations.

Lemma 4.2. With all notations as in Definition 4.1, suppose $\omega^{\prime}$ is global. If $s \geq 2$ or $L^{\prime}=A$. Then there is a surjective lift $\Theta: F \oplus F^{\prime} \rightarrow(I+J)$ of $\eta$. In particular,

1. in case $L^{\prime}=A$, the local orientation $\eta$ is global;
2. and also in case $L^{\prime} \neq A$, and $r+s=d$, then $\eta \gamma_{0}$ is global.

Proof. If $I+J=A$, there is nothing to prove. So, we assume height $(I+J)=r+s$. Suppose $f^{\prime}=(g, a): F^{\prime} \rightarrow J$ is a surjective lift of $\omega^{\prime}$. Also, let $f: F \rightarrow I$ be any lift of $\omega$. There is an $e \in I^{2}$ such that $(1-e) I \subseteq f(F)$. We claim that [11],

$$
\text { with } b=e+(1-e) a, \quad I+J=\left(f(F), g\left(L^{\prime} \oplus A^{s-2}\right), b\right)=: \mathcal{K} .
$$

First, $(1-e) \in f(F)_{e}$. So, $(1-e) a \in \mathcal{K}_{e}$. So, $e=b-(1-e) a \in \mathcal{K}_{e}$. Therefore, $A_{e}=(I+J)_{e}=\mathcal{K}_{e}$. If $s>1$, let $F^{\prime \prime}=L^{\prime} \oplus A^{s-2}$ and if $s=1$, let $F^{\prime \prime}=0$. We have $\mathcal{K}_{1-e}=$

$$
\left(f(F)_{1-e}, g\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)_{1-e}, b\right)=\left(I_{1-e}, g\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)_{1-e}, b\right)=\left(I_{1-e}, g\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)_{1-e}, a\right)=(I+J)_{1-e}
$$

This shows that $\Theta=(f, g, b)$ is a surjective lift of $\eta$.
Now we prove (2). Write $\theta=(f, g)$ and $\ell=\theta\left(F \oplus F^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{dim} A / \ell \leq 1$, there is an isomorphism $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}:$ $\frac{L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2}}{\ell\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{F \oplus \oplus^{\prime \prime}}{l\left(F \oplus F^{\prime \prime}\right)}$ with $\wedge^{d-1} \gamma_{0}^{\prime}=1$. Since $\gamma_{0}$ is a choice, we can assume that $\gamma_{0}=\left(\gamma_{0}^{\prime} \oplus 1\right) \otimes A /(I+J)$. Let $\Gamma_{0}: L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2} \rightarrow F \oplus F^{\prime \prime}$ be any lift of $\gamma_{0}$. Let $\tilde{K}=\theta \Gamma_{0}\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2}\right)$. Then $\ell=\tilde{K}+\ell^{2}$ and $I+J=(\ell, b)$.

There is $\epsilon \in \ell^{2}$ such that $(1-\epsilon) \ell \subseteq \tilde{K}$. Write $c=\epsilon+(1-\epsilon) b$. Then

$$
I+J=(\ell, b)=(\tilde{K}, c)
$$

Therefore $\left(\theta \Gamma_{0}, c\right):\left(L L^{\prime} \oplus A^{d-2}\right) \rightarrow I+J$ is a surjective lift of $\eta \gamma_{0}$. So, $\eta \gamma_{0}$ is global. This completes the proof.
The following moving lemma is a tool for the rest of this paper.
Lemma 4.3. Suppose $A$ is noetherian commutative ring with $\operatorname{dim} A=d$. Let $I, J$ be two ideals of height $r$ and $s$, respectively. Suppose $F$ is a projective A-module of rank $r$ and $\omega: F / I F \rightarrow I / I^{2}$ is a surjective homomorphism. Also assume that $J$ is locally generated by s elements. Then, there is a surjective lift $f: F \rightarrow I \cap K$ of $\omega$ such that (1) $I+K=A(2)$ height $(K) \geq r$ and (3) height $(K+J) \geq r+s$.
Proof. The proof is done by the use of standard generalized dimension theory. First, there is a lift $f_{0}: F \rightarrow I$ of $\omega$. Then $I=\left(f_{0}(F), a\right)$ for some $a \in I^{2}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{r-1} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, be the set of all prime ideals $\wp$, with height $(\wp) \leq r-1$ and $a \notin \wp$. Also, let $\mathcal{Q}_{r-1} \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ be the set of all prime ideals $\wp$ such that $J \subseteq \wp, a \notin \wp$ and height $(\wp / J) \leq r-1$. Write $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{r-1} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{r-1}$.

Let $d_{1}: \mathcal{P}_{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the restriction of the usual dimension function and $d_{2}: Q_{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the dimension function induced by that on $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{a} / J_{a}\right)$. Then $d_{1}, d_{2}$ induce a generalized dimension function $d: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, ([12] or see [7]).

Now, $\left(f_{0}, a\right) \in F^{*} \oplus A$ is a basic element on $\mathcal{P}$. Since, $\operatorname{rank}(F)=r>d(\wp)$ for all $\wp \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a $g \in F^{*}$, such that $f=f_{0}+a g$ is basic on $\mathcal{P}$. Clearly, $f$ is a lift of $\omega$ and $I=(f(F), a)$.

Since, $f$ is a lift of $\omega$, we can write $f(F)=I \cap K$, such that $I+K=A$. It is routine to check now that height $(K) \geq r$ and height $\left(\frac{J+K}{J}\right) \geq r$. Since $\left(\frac{J+K}{J}\right)$ is locally $r$ generated, height $\left(\frac{J+K}{J}\right)=r$ and for any minimal prime $\wp$ over $J+K$ we have height $\left(\frac{J+K}{J}\right)=$ height $\left(\frac{\wp}{J}\right)=r$.

Also, since $J$ is locally $s$ generated ideal of height $s$, height $(\wp)=\operatorname{height}(J)$, for any minimal prime $\wp$ over $J$.
If $J+K=A$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose $J+K \subseteq \wp^{1}$ be a minimal prime over $J+K$ such that height $(J+K)=$ $\operatorname{height}\left(\wp^{1}\right)$, and $J \subseteq \wp^{0}$ be a minimal prime over $J$, such that height $\left(\wp^{1} / \wp^{0}\right)=\operatorname{height}\left(\wp^{1} / J\right)$. We have,

$$
\operatorname{height}\left(\wp^{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{height}\left(\wp^{1} / \wp^{0}\right)+\operatorname{height}\left(\wp_{0}\right)=\operatorname{height}\left(\wp^{1} / J\right)+\operatorname{height}(J)
$$

$=r+s$. This completes the proof.
The following lemma establishes the consistency of Definition 4.1.
Lemma 4.4. We use all the notations in Definition 4.1. Assume $r \geq 2$ or $L=A$. Further, let $I_{1}$ be an ideal of height $r$ with $\operatorname{height}\left(I_{1}+J\right) \geq r+s$ and let

$$
\omega_{1}: F / I_{1} F \rightarrow I_{1} / I_{1}^{2}
$$

be a local orientation. Suppose $(I, \omega)=\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \in E^{r}(A, L)$.

1. If $L^{\prime}=A$, then

$$
(I, \omega) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)=\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

2. If $r+s=d$, then

$$
(I, \omega) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)=\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. We will only prove (1). The proof of (2) is similar.
We have either height $\left(I_{1}+J\right)=r+s$ or $I_{1}+J=A$. We will assume $L^{\prime}=A$. By Lemma 4.3, we can find a surjective lift $\theta: F \rightarrow I \cap K$ of $\omega$, where $I+K=A$ and $\operatorname{height}(K+J) \geq r+s$. Since $(I \cap K, \theta \otimes A /(I \cap K))$ is global, by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$
(I, \omega) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)=\left(I \cap K+J, \bar{\theta} \oplus \bar{\omega}^{\prime}\right)=0 \in E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

(This also works when $K+J=A$, in this case $I \cap K+J=I+J$.) We will prove

$$
\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)=0 \in E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

Since $(I, \omega)=\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \in E^{r}(A, L)$ we have $\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta})=0 \in E^{r}(A, L)$. There exist, ideals $\left\{\ell_{t}: 1 \leq t \leq i+j\right\}$ of height $r$ and global orientations $\theta_{t}: F / \ell_{t} F \rightarrow \ell_{t} / l_{t}^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta})+\sum_{t=i+1}^{i+j}\left(\ell_{t}, \theta_{t}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{i}\left(\ell_{t}, \theta_{t}\right) \in G^{r}(A, L) \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, by Lemma 4.3, there is a surjective lift $\Omega^{\prime}: F^{\prime} \rightarrow J \cap J^{\prime}$ of $\omega^{\prime}$, such that height $\left(J^{\prime}\right) \geq s, J+J^{\prime}=A$ and

$$
\text { height }\left(J^{\prime}+I \cap K \cap \bigcap_{t=1}^{i+j} \ell_{t}\right) \geq r+s
$$

The identity (I) is a purely formal identity. Note that Definition 4.1 applies to all the terms in the following expression and by formal argument, it follows that from (I) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{t=i+1}^{i+j}\left(\ell_{t}, \theta_{t}\right) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{t=1}^{i}\left(\ell_{t}, \theta_{t}\right) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $G^{r+s}(A, L)$. By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)=0 \in E^{r+s}(A, L) \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)+\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)+\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)\right)+\left((K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J \cap J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J \cap J^{\prime}, \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining with (II), we have

$$
\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)+(K, \bar{\theta}) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)=0 \in E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

Therefore, the proof is complete.
The following is our final result on intersection product.
Theorem 4.5. We use all the notations as in Definition 4.1.

1. With $L=L^{\prime}=A$, the association

$$
\left((I, \omega),\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right) \mapsto(I, \omega) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in E^{r+s}(A, A)
$$

defines a bilinear homomorphism

$$
\cap: E^{r}(A, A) \times E^{s}(A, A) \rightarrow E^{r+s}(A, A)
$$

2. With $L^{\prime}=A$ and $r \geq 2$, the association

$$
\left((I, \omega),\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right) \mapsto(I, \omega) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

defines a bilinear homomorphism

$$
\cap: E^{r}(A, L) \times E^{s}(A, A) \rightarrow E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

3. If $L^{\prime} \neq A, r+s=d$ and $r \geq 2$, the association

$$
\left((I, \omega),\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right)\right) \mapsto(I, \omega) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in E^{r+s}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

defines a bilinear homomorphism

$$
\cap: E^{r}(A, L) \times E^{s}\left(A, L^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow E^{d}\left(A, L L^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. Proofs of (3), (1) are similar to that of (2). We only prove (2).
Fix $x=\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in G^{S}(A, A)$ as in Definition 4.1. Suppose $I_{1}$ is an ideal of height $r$ and $\omega_{1}: \frac{F}{I_{1} F} \rightarrow \frac{I_{1}}{I_{1}^{2}}$ is a local orientation.
There is an ideal $I$ and $\omega$ as in 4.1, such that $\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)=(I, \omega) \in E^{r}(A, L)$. Define

$$
\varphi_{x}\left(I_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)=(I, \omega) \cap\left(J, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

This is well defined by Lemma 4.4. By Lemma 4.2, $\varphi_{x}$ factors through a homomorphism

$$
\varphi_{x}: E^{r}(A, L) \rightarrow E^{r+s}(A, L)
$$

Now, the association $x \mapsto \varphi_{x}$ defines a homomorphism

$$
\varphi: G^{s}(A, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(E^{r}(A, L), E^{r+s}(A, L)\right)
$$

By Lemma 4.2, if $x$ is a global orientation, then $\varphi_{x}=0$. So, $\varphi$, factors through a homomorphism
$\cap: E^{s}(A, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(E^{r}(A, L), E^{r+s}(A, L)\right)$.
This completes the proof.
Forgetting the orientations, we get the following.
Corollary 4.6. There is an intersection product for the weak Euler class groups, corresponding to the same in Theorem 4.5.
Remark 4.7. From the definitions, it follows that the intersection products defined in Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 are commutative and associative, whenever they are defined.
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