

Some Examples and Constructions

Satya Mandal

Conference on Projective Modules and Complete Intersections
to December 28-30, 2006

1 Introduction

I will talk on the construction of N. Mohan Kumar in his paper entitled "Stably free modules" ([MK1]). This paper is widely known for the examples of stably free projective modules that are not free.

In fact, such examples of stably free projective modules in this paper is (only) an application of the main construction in this paper.

We will discuss two further applications and/or aspects of the main construction.

This is part of an on going work with S. M. Bhatwadekar and Mrinal Kanti Das.

Part of the goal of this talk is to produce a smooth three fold $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ and a projective A -module P with $\text{rank}(P) = 3$, such that $[P] - [A^3] \neq 0$ in the Grothendieck group $K_0(A)$ and all the Chern classes $C^r(P) = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$.

In contrast, recall the theorem of Mohan Kumar and Murthy [MKM]:

Theorem 1.1 ([MKM]) Let A be a smooth affine ring over an algebraically closed field and $\dim(A) = 3$. Suppose P is a projective A -module with any $\text{rank}(P) = r \geq 1$. Then

$$[P] - [A^r] = 0 \iff C^k(P) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1.$$

In other words, a projective A -module is stably free if and only if all the Chern classes of P are zero.

2 Mohan Kumar Varieties

The following is the constructions of Mohan Kumar ([MK1]).

Construction 2.1 [MK1, Mohan Kumar] Let k be a field and p be a prime number. Fix a polynomial $f(x)$ of degree p over k such that $f(0) = a \in k^*$. This polynomial $f(x)$ will be called the **seed polynomial**. Let

$$t_r = 1 + p + \cdots + p^{r-1} = \frac{p^r - 1}{p - 1}.$$

1. Let $F(x_0, x_1) = F_1(x_0, x_1) = x_1^p f(x_0/x_1)$.
2. Inductively define

$$F_n = F(F_{n-1}(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}), a^{t_{n-1}} x_n^{p^{n-1}}).$$

3. We will work with (seed) polynomials $f(x)$ so that F_n is irreducible (for appropriate n).

4. Let $S_n = V(F_n) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ denotes the closed subset of \mathbb{P}_k^n defined by $F_n = 0$. That means

$$S_n = Proj \left(\frac{k[x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]}{F_n} \right).$$

These varieties S_n will be called a **Mohan Kumar (projective) varieties**. Note that these are singular varieties.

5. Let $X_n = \mathbb{P}_k^n \setminus S_n$. Write $X_n = Spec(A_n)$. Then

$$A_n = k[x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_{(F_n)},$$

the homogeneous localization. These varieties X_n will be called **Mohan Kumar (affine) varieties**. Note that these are non-singular affine varieties.

6. Often, our seed polynomial is $f(x) = x^p + a$ where $k = k_0(a)$ and a is transcendental over a field k_0 .

Among other things, Mohan Kumar ([MK1]) did the following computations regarding the Chow groups.

Theorem 2.2 ([MK1, Mohan Kumar]) Let p be a prime number and let rest of the notations be as above (2.1). Then,

1. The Chow group $CH^1(X_n)$ of codimension one cycles is given by

$$CH^1(X_n) = \mathbb{Z}/(p^n).$$

Proof. Note $degree(F_n) = p^n$. The rest of the proof follows from the exact sequence

$$CH^0(S_n) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow CH^1(\mathbb{P}_k^n) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow CH^n(X_3) \rightarrow 0.$$

2. Also, the Chow group $CH^n(X_n)$ of codimension n cycles (i.e. zero cycles) is given by

$$CH^n(X_n) = \mathbb{Z}/(p).$$

Proof. This follows from the exact sequence

$$CH^{n-1}(S_n) \rightarrow CH^n(\mathbb{P}_k^n) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow CH^n(X_n) \rightarrow 0$$

and the fact that $image(CH^{n-1}(S_n)) = p\mathbb{Z}$. This follows by observing that degree of any closed point $\wp \in S_n$ is divisible by p and the closed point $\wp_0 = (F_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \in S_n$ has degree p .

3. **Problems:** The complete description of the total Chow group $CH(X_n)$ is not known. Also the description of the Grothendieck group $K_0(X_n)$ is not known.

2.1 Computation of Grothendieck K -Groups

Our question is K -theoretic. So, we wish to gather information regarding K -groups.

Theorem 2.3 Let $k = k_0(a)$ where a is transcendental over a field k_0 and let $f(x) = x^2 + a$ be the seed polynomial. (*We consider Mohan Kumar varieties with $p = 2, n = 3$.*) Consider the exact sequence

$$G_0(S_3) \xrightarrow{i} K_0(\mathbb{P}^3) \rightarrow K_0(A_3) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have

$$i(G_0(S_3)) \subseteq 2K_0(\mathbb{P}^3).$$

Proof. We will write $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$. Recall

$$K_0(\mathbb{P}^3) = \mathbb{Z}[T]/(T-1)^4 = \sum_{k=0}^3 \mathbb{Z}\eta^k \quad \text{where } \eta = [\mathcal{O}(-1)].$$

Given any point $x \in S_3$, let \mathcal{P} denote the ideal sheaf of x . We will prove that

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \right] \in 2K_0(\mathbb{P}^3).$$

We will write $R = k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$.

Step I : The generic point in S_3 . Let $x \in S_3$ be the generic point of S_3 . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R(-2^3) \xrightarrow{F_3} R \rightarrow \frac{R}{F_3} \rightarrow 0.$$

Looking at the sheaf, we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2^3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \right] = [\mathcal{O}] - [\mathcal{O}(-2^3)] = (1 - \eta^{2^3}) \stackrel{\text{mod } 2}{\equiv} (1 - \eta)^8 \stackrel{\text{mod } 2}{\equiv} 0$$

Step II : Closed points in S_3 . Let $x \in S_3$ be a closed point and $m \in \mathbb{P}^3$ be the corresponding homogeneous-prime ideal. So, $F_3 \in m$ and $height(m) = 3$. By theorem 2.2, item 2, we have $cycle(\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}}) = 2d \in CH^3(\mathbb{P}^3)$ for some integer d . Now consider the maps

$$CH^3(\mathbb{P}^3) \xrightarrow{\beta} F^3 K_0(\mathbb{P}^3).$$

Write

$$\mathcal{I} = \text{ideal - Sheaf - generated}(F_1^d, x_2, x_3).$$

Now

$$cycle\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}}\right) = cycle\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}}\right) \quad \text{in } CH^3(\mathbb{P}^3).$$

Therefore, looking at the image under beta (Fulton [F, page 285]), we have

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}}\right] = \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}}\right] \quad \text{in } F^3 K_0(\mathbb{P}^3).$$

There is a surjective map

$$R(-2d) \oplus R(-1)^2 \rightarrow (F_1^d, x_2, x_3) \rightarrow 0$$

that induces a surjective map

$$\mathcal{O}(-2d) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow 0.$$

The Koszul complex gives (see 4.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}} \right] &= (\mathcal{O}(-2d) - 1)(\mathcal{O}(-1) - 1)^2 \\ &= (\eta^{2d} - 1)(\eta - 1)^2 \stackrel{\text{mod } 2}{\equiv} (\eta^d - 1)^2(\eta - 1)^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $(\eta - 1)^4 = 0$. Therefore,

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \right] = \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}} \right] \quad \text{is in } 2K_0(\mathbb{P}^3).$$

Step III: $\text{codim} = 2$: Let $x \in S_3$ be a codimension two point. We think of $x = \wp$ as a homogeneous prime ideal of height 2 and let $\mathcal{P} = \text{IdealSheaf}(\wp)$.

Case $x_3 \in \wp$: Suppose $x_3 \in \wp$. Then $\wp = (F_2, x_3)$ The surjective map

$$R(-4) \oplus R(-1) \rightarrow \wp$$

induces surjective map

$$\mathcal{O}(-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}.$$

The Koszul complex gives (see 4.1):

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \right] = (1 - \eta^4)(1 - \eta) \stackrel{\text{mod } 2}{\equiv} (1 - \eta)^5 \stackrel{\text{mod } 2}{\equiv} 0$$

because $(1 - \eta)^4 = 0$.

Case $x_3 \notin \wp$: Write $y_i = x_i/x_3$. $f_3 = F_3(y_0, y_1, y_2, 1) \in \wp_{(x_3)}$. By lemma 2.4,

$$\frac{k[y_0, y_1, y_2]}{(f_3)} \text{ is an UFD.}$$

It follows that $\wp_{(x_3)} = (f_3, h)$ for some $h \in k[y_0, y_1, y_2]$. Let $h = H(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)/x_3^k$ for some homogeneous $H \in \wp$ so that $H(x_0, x_1, x_2, 0) \neq 0$. **Note H is not a multiple of F , otherwise $\wp_{(x_3)} = (f_3)$. This is impossible. Therefore F, H is a regular sequence.**

Let

$$I = (F_3, H) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I} = \text{IdealSheaf}(I).$$

Let $\text{degree}(H) = d$. Then, F_3, H induces a surjective map

$$\mathcal{O}(-8) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow 0.$$

The Koszul complex gives (see 4.1):

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}} \right] = (1 - \eta^8)(1 - \eta^d) \stackrel{\text{mod } 2}{\equiv} (1 - \eta)^8(1 - \eta^d) \stackrel{\text{mod } 2}{\equiv} 0$$

because $(1 - \eta)^4 = 0$. Therefore

$$2 \text{ divides } \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}} \right].$$

We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow 0$$

Also note the following:

1.

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Sheaf}\left(\frac{\wp}{\mathcal{I}}\right)$$

2. $(I, x_3) \subseteq \sqrt{\text{ann}\left(\frac{\wp}{\mathcal{I}}\right)}$. **Proof.** We have $\wp_{(x_3)} = I_{(x_3)}$. So, $\left(\frac{\wp}{\mathcal{I}}\right)_{(x_3)} = 0$. Therefore $x_3^r \left(\frac{\wp}{\mathcal{I}}\right) = 0$ for some r .

3. It is clear that $\wp_0 = (F_2, x_3)$ is the ONLY height-two prime ideal that MAY contain (I, x_3) .

4. There are graded submodules

$$M_0 = 0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_N = \frac{\wp}{\mathcal{I}}$$

with

$$\frac{M_i}{M_{i+1}} \cong \frac{k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]}{\wp_i}$$

where $(I, x_3) \subseteq \wp_i$ are homogeneous primes for $i = 1, \dots, N$

5. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \text{IdealSheaf}(\wp_i).$$

6. By looking at the corresponding sheafs we have

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{I}} \right] = \left[\text{Sheaf} \left(\frac{\wp}{\mathcal{I}} \right) \right] = [\text{Sheaf}(M_N)] = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}_i} \right].$$

7. For $i = 1, \dots, N$ if $\wp_i \neq \wp_0 = (F_2, x_3)$ then $\text{height}(\wp_i) \geq 3$.

8. By downward induction or because $\wp_i = (F_2, x_3)$ we have

$$2 \quad \text{divides} \quad \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}_i} \right] \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Therefore

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{I}} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}_i} \right] \quad \text{divisible by } 2.$$

9. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow 0$$

gives

$$2 \quad \text{divides} \quad \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{P}} \right] = \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}} \right] - \left[\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{I}} \right].$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 2.4 Let k_0 be a field and $k = k_0(t)$ and t is transcendental over a field k_0 . Let y_0, y_1, y_2 be variables and $A = k[y_0, y_1, y_2]$ and

$$f_3 = F_3(y_0, y_1, y_2, 1) = ((y_0^2 + ty_1^2)^2 + t^3y_2^4)^2 + t^7.$$

Then $A/(f_3)$ is an UFD.

2.2 The Examples

As promised in the introduction, we produce the following example from the computations in Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.5 Suppose $k = k_0(a)$ where a is transcendental over a field k_0 and let $f(x) = x^2 + a$ be the seed polynomial. Let $X_3 = \text{Spec}(A_3)$ be the Mohan Kumar affine three fold. Let m be a k -rational maximal ideal in A_3 and $x = [\frac{A_3}{m}] \in K_0(A_3)$.

1. Then $x \neq 0$.
2. There is a projective module P of rank three such that $x = [P] - [A_3^3]$ then $C^r(P) = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$.

Proof. We have the exact sequence

$$G_0(F_3 = 0) \xrightarrow{\psi} K_0(\mathbb{P}^3) \rightarrow K_0(X_3) \rightarrow 0$$

Let $y \in K_0(\mathbb{P}^3)$ is given by a rational point. So $\psi(y) = x$. Write $R = k[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Note that the exact sequence

$$R(-1)^3 \rightarrow (x_0, x_1, x_2) \quad \text{gives} \quad y = (1 - \eta)^3.$$

Since y is not a multiple of 2, we have $x \neq 0$.

Now we prove part two. Since $x = [P] - [A_3^3]$ is supported in codimension three, $C^1(x) = C^2(x) = 0$. Also, by Riemann-Roch, $C^3(x) = 2[\text{cycle}(x)] = 0$ because $CH^3(A_3) = \mathbb{Z}/(2)$.

By taking a common denominator, the following is a consequence of the above example 2.5.

Corollary 2.6 There is an affine smooth algebra A over \mathbb{C} with $\dim(A) = 4$ and a projective A -module P with $\text{rank}(P) = 3$ such that $[P] - [A^3] \neq 0$ and $C^r(P) = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$.

This shows that the theorem of Mohan Kumar and Murthy ([MKM]) is not valid for higher dimension.

Following is a consequence of the UFD lemma.

Corollary 2.7 Let $k = k_0(a)$ where a is transcendental over a field k_0 and let $f(x) = x^2 + a$ be the seed polynomial. We have

$$CH^2(X_3) = \mathbb{Z}/(4).$$

So, in this case, the total Chow group is given by

$$CH(X_3) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(8) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(4) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(2)$$

Proof. We have notations $S_n = (F_n = 0) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ and $X_n = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus S_n$.

Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} CH^0(F_2 = 0, x_3 = 0) = \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & CH^1(S_3) & \longrightarrow & CH^1(S_3 \cap (x_3 \neq 0)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow & & \\ CH^1(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & CH^2(\mathbb{P}^3) = \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & CH^2(\mathbb{A}^3) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ CH^1(X_2) & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X_3) & \longrightarrow & CH^2(X_3 \setminus X_2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since $\frac{k[y_0, y_1, y_2]}{(F_3(y_0, y_1, y_2, 1))}$ is a UFD, $CH^1(S_3 \cap (x_3 \neq 0)) = 0$. (Hence all of the third column is zero, but we do not need it.) Now it follows that ψ_0 is surjective. So, Let $\zeta = (F_2, x_3)$ denote the generator of $CH^0(F_2 = 0, x_3 = 0)$. Then $\beta_1(CH^1(S_3)) = \beta_1(\zeta)\mathbb{Z} = 4\mathbb{Z}$ So, $CH^2(X_3) = \mathbb{Z}/(4)$.

Theorem 2.8 Let $k = k_0(a)$ where a is transcendental over a field k_0 . Let $f(x) = x^2 + a$ be the seed polynomial. Let X_3 be a Mohan Kumar affine three fold over k . Then the Grothendieck group $K_0(X_3)$ is also completely determined.

3 Over the reals \mathbb{R}

For our second application, we consider Mohan Kumar varieties over the field of reals \mathbb{R} . Our seed polynomial is $f(x) = x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$.

Proposition 3.1 Let $f(x) = x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Let $F_1 = F(x_0, x_1) = x_0^2 + x_1^2$. Define

$$F_n(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(F_{n-1}, x_n) = F_{n-1}^2 + x_n^{2^n}.$$

Then F_n is irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

All the computations of Mohan Kumar ([MK1]) goes through. We rewrite theorem 2.2 in this context.

Theorem 3.2 Let $f(x) = x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be the seed polynomial. Then,

1. The Mohan Kumar affine variety $X_n = \text{Spec}(A_n) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^n \setminus (F_n = 0)$ is a smooth real affine variety of dimension n .
2. We have $CH^1(X_n) = \mathbb{Z}/(2^n)$.
3. Also, $CH^n(X_n) = \mathbb{Z}/(2)$.
4. Recall ([H]), the canonical bundle

$$K_{\mathbb{P}^n} = \wedge^n \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n} = \mathcal{O}(-n - 1).$$

So,

$$K_{X_n} = -n-1 \quad \text{in} \quad \text{Pic}(X_n) = CH^1(X_n) = \mathbb{Z}/(2^n).$$

We compute the Euler class group of the Mohan Kumar affine variety over reals as follows:

Theorem 3.3 Suppose $L \in \text{Pic}(X_n) = \mathbb{Z}/(2^n)$ is a line bundle on X_n . If $L + n + 1$ is even then

$$E(X_n, L) = \mathbb{Z}$$

and if $L + n + 1$ is odd then

$$E(X_n, L) = \mathbb{Z}/(2)$$

Proof.

1. **Notation:** For any affine variety $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ over \mathbb{R} , let

$$X(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Spec}(S^{-1}A) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{R}(X) = S^{-1}A,$$

where S is the set all all $f \in A$ that does not vanish at any real point.

2. $X_n(\mathbb{R}) = P^n(\mathbb{R})$. So they have one connected component, which is compact.
3. $\text{Pic}(X_n) = \mathbb{Z}/(2^n)$. In fact,

$$\text{Pic}(X_n(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{Z}/(2).$$

4. The canonical bundle is $K = -(n + 1)$.

5. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & E^{\mathbb{C}}(L) & \longrightarrow & E(X_n, L) & \longrightarrow & E(\mathbb{R}(X_n), L) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \wr \varphi & & \downarrow \Theta & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & K(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & CH^n(X_n) & \longrightarrow & CH^n(\mathbb{R}(X_n)) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

6. Since $CH^n(X_n) = \mathbb{Z}/(2)$ and $CH^n(\mathbb{R}(X_n)) = \mathbb{Z}/(2)$ we have

$$E(X_n, L) = E(\mathbb{R}(X_n), L)$$

Therefore, if $L + n + 1$ is even then

$$E(X_n, L) = E(\mathbb{R}(X_n), L) = \mathbb{Z}$$

and if $L + n + 1$ is odd then

$$E(X_n, L) = E(\mathbb{R}(X_n), L) = \mathbb{Z}/(2).$$

4 Appendix

4.1 Koszul Complex Lemma

Exercise 4.1 *Let X be noetherian scheme and \mathcal{I} be local complete intersection sheaf of ideal of codimension r . Suppose L_1, \dots, L_r are line bundles and*

$$L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$$

be a surjective map. Then

$$\left[\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{I}} \right] = (1 - [L_1])(1 - [L_2]) \cdots (1 - [L_r]) \quad \text{in } K_0(X)$$

References

- [B] M. Boratynski, *A note on set-theoretic complete intersection ideals*, J. Algebra **54**(1978).
- [BDM] S. M. Bhatwadekar, Mrinal Kanti Das and Satya Mandal, *Projective modules over real affine varieties*, Inventiones. Math. **166**, no. 1 (2006), 151-184.
- [BM] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Satya Mandal, *On Automorphisms of Modules over Polynomial Rings*, J. of Algebra, vol **128**, no **2** (1990), 321-334.
- [BS1] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Raja Sridharan *Projective generation of curves in polynomial extensions of an affine domain and a question of Nori* Invent. math. 133, 161-192 (1998).
- [BS2] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Raja Sridharan, *The Euler Class Group of a Noetherian Ring*, Compositio Mathematica, **122**: 183-222,2000.
- [BS3] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Raja Sridharan, *On Euler classes and stably free projective modules*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry, Part I, II (Mumbai, 2000), 139–158, TIFR Stud. Math.,**16**, TIFR., Bombay, 2002.

- [BS4] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Raja Sridharan, *Zero cycles and the Euler class groups of smooth real affine varieties*, Invent. math. **133**, 161-192 (1998).
- [BK] S. M. Bhatwadekar and Manoj Kumar Keshari, *A question of Nori: Projective generation of Ideals*, K-Theory **28** (2003), no. 4, 329–351.
- [CF] Luther Claborn and Robert Fossum, *Generalizations of the notion of class group*, Illinois J. Math. **12** 1968 228–253.
- [D1] Mrinal Kanti Das, *The Euler class group of a polynomial algebra*, J. Algebra **264** (2003), no. 2, 582–612.
- [D2] Mrinal Kanti Das and Raja Sridharan, *The Euler class groups of polynomial rings and unimodular elements in projective modules*, JPAA **185** (2003), no. 1-3, 73–86.
- [DM1] Mrinal Kanti Das and Satya Mandal, *A Riemann-Roch Theorem*, J. Algebra **301** (2006), no. 1, 148–164.
- [DM2] Mrinal Kanti Das and Satya Mandal, *Euler Class Construction*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **198** (2005), no. 1-3, 93–104.

- [F] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [Ma1] Satya Mandal, *Homotopy of sections of projective modules*, J. Algebraic Geom. 1 (1992), no. 4, 639–646.
- [Ma2] Satya Mandal, *Projective Modules and Complete Intersections*, LNM 1672, Springer(1997),1-113.
- [Ma3] Satya Mandal, *Complete Intersection and K-Theory and Chern Classes*, Math. Zeit. 227, 423-454 (1998).
- [Ma4] Satya Mandal, *Projective Modules and Complete Intersections*, LNM 1672, Springer(1997),1-113.
- [Ma5] Satya Mandal, *Decomposition of projective modules*, K-Theory 22 (2001), no. 4, 393–400.
- [Ma6] Satya Mandal, *On efficient generation of ideals*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984), 59-67.
- [MM] Satya Mandal and M.P.Murthy, *Ideals as Sections of Projective Modules*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **13** No.1 (1998) pp.51-62.

- [MP] Satya Mandal and Bangere Purnaprajna, *Cancellation of projective modules and complete intersections*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **18** (2003), no. 1, 77–85.
- [MPa] Satya Mandal and Ken Parker, *Vanishing of Euler class groups*, J. Algebra (accepted).
- [MS] Satya Mandal and Raja Sridharan, *Euler Classes and Complete Intersections*, J. of Math. Kyoto University, 36-3 (1996) 453-470.
- [MV] Satya Mandal and PLN Varma, *On a Question of Nori : the Local Case*, Comm. in Algebra, 25(2), 451-457(1997).
- [MiS] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Math. Studies **76**, Princeton Univ. Press, (1974).
- [MK1] N. Mohan Kumar, *Stably Free Modules*, Amer. J. of Math. **107** (1985), no. 6, 1439–1444.
- [MK2] N. Mohan Kumar, *Some theorems on generation of ideals in affine algebras*, Comment. Math. Helv. 59 (1984), no. 2, 243–252.

- [MKM] N. Mohan Kumar and M. P. Murthy, *Algebraic cycles and vector bundles over affine three-folds*, Ann. of Math. **116** (1982), 579-591. ,
- [MK3] N. Mohan Kumar, *On two conjectures about polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **46** (1978), no. 3, 225–236.
- [Mu1] M. P. Murthy, *Zero cycles and projective modules*, Ann. of math. **140** (1994), 405-434
- [Mu2] M. P. Murthy, *A survey of obstruction theory for projective modules of top rank*, Algebra, K -theory, groups, and education (New York, 1997), 153–174, Contemp. Math., **243**, AMS, Providence, 1999.
- [Mu3] M. P. Murthy (written by D. S. Nagaraj), *Lecture Notes on Intersection Theory*, Unpublished
- [Q] D. Quillen, *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 167-171.
- [St] Steenrod, *The Topology of Fiber Bundles*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999. viii+229.
- [S] A. A. Suslin, *A cancellation theorem for projective modules over affine algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), 1160-1164.

[Sw] R. G. Swan, *Vector bundles and projective modules*, Trna. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1962), 264-277.